

Spectrum of Two-Magnon non-Heisenberg Ferromagnetic Model of Arbitrary Spin with Impurity

S.M. Tashpulatov

*Institute of Nuclear Physics Academy of Sciences of Republic Uzbekistan
 Tashkent, Ulugbek, Uzbekistan*

E-mail: toshpul@mail.ru; sadullatashpulatov@yandex.ru

Received May 12, 2011, revised June 6, 2012

We consider a two-magnon system in the isotropic non-Heisenberg ferromagnetic model of an arbitrary spin s on a ν -dimensional lattice Z^ν . We establish that the essential spectrum of the system consists of the union of at most four intervals. We obtain lower and upper estimates for the number of three-particle bound states, i.e., for the number of points of discrete spectrum of the system.

Key words: non-Heisenberg ferromagnet, essential spectrum, discrete spectrum, three-particle discrete Schrödinger operator, compact operator, finite-dimensional operator, lattice, spin.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 46L60, 47L90, 70H06. 70F05, 81Q10, 45B05, 45C05, 47B25, 47G10, 34L05.

We consider a two-magnon system in the isotropic non-Heisenberg ferromagnetic model of an arbitrary spin s with impurity on a ν -dimensional lattice Z^ν and study the discrete and essential spectra of the system. The system consists of three particles: two magnons and an impurity spin.

The Hamiltonian of the system has the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_{reg} = & - \sum_{m,\tau} \sum_{n=1}^{2s} J_n (S_m^z S_{m+\tau}^z - s^2 + \frac{1}{2} (S_m^+ S_{m+\tau}^- + S_m^- S_{m+\tau}^+))^n \\
 & - \sum_{\tau} \sum_{n=1}^{2s} (J_n^0 - J_n) (S_0^z S_{\tau}^z - s^2 + \frac{1}{2} (S_0^+ S_{\tau}^- + S_0^- S_{\tau}^+))^n \quad (1)
 \end{aligned}$$

and acts on the symmetric Fock space \mathcal{H} . Here $J_n > 0$ are the parameters of the multipole exchange interaction between the nearest-neighbor atoms in the lattice Z^ν , $J_n^0 \neq 0$ are the atom-impurity multipole exchange interaction parameters,

$\vec{S}_m = (S_m^x; S_m^y; S_m^z)$ is the atomic spin operator of spin s at the lattice site m , and $\tau = \pm e_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, \nu$, where e_j are the unit coordinate vectors. Let φ_0 denote the vacuum vector uniquely defined by the conditions $S_m^+ \varphi_0 = 0$ and $S_m^z \varphi_0 = s\varphi_0$, where $\|\varphi_0\| = 1$. We set $S_m^\pm = S_m^x \pm iS_m^y$, where S_m^- and S_m^+ are the magnon creation and annihilation operators at the site m . The vector $S_m^- S_n^- \varphi_0$ describes the state of the system of two magnons located at the sites m and n with spin s . The vectors $\left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{4s^2 + (4s^2 - 4s)\delta_{m,n}}} S_m^- S_n^- \varphi_0 \right\}$ form an orthonormal system. Let \mathcal{H}_2 be the Hilbert space spanned by these vectors. The space is called the two-magnon space of the operator H . We also denote the restriction of H to \mathcal{H}_2 by H_2 .

Proposition 1. *The space \mathcal{H}_2 is an invariant subspace of H . The operator $H_2 = H|_{\mathcal{H}_2}$ is a bounded self-adjoint operator generating a bounded self-adjoint operator \tilde{H}_2 whose kernel in the momentum representation, i.e., in $L_2(T^\nu)$, is given by the formula*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\tilde{H}_2 f)(x; y) &= h(x; y)f(x; y) + \int_{T^\nu} h_1(x; y; t)f(t; x + y - t)dt + D \int_{T^\nu} h_2(x; s)f(s; y)ds \\
 &+ E \int_{T^\nu} h_3(y; t)f(x; t)dt + \int_{T^\nu} \int_{T^\nu} h_4(x; y; s; t)f(s; t)dsdt, \tag{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$h(x; y) = 8sA \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \left[1 - \cos \frac{x_k + y_k}{2} \cos \frac{x_k - y_k}{2} \right]$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 h_1(x; y; t) &= -4s(2s - 1)B \\
 &\times \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \left\{ 1 + \cos(x_k + y_k) - 2 \cos \frac{x_k + y_k}{2} \cos \frac{x_k - y_k}{2} \right\} - 4C \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \left\{ \cos \frac{x_k - y_k}{2} \right. \\
 &- \left. \cos \frac{x_k + y_k}{2} \right\} \cos\left(\frac{x_k + y_k}{2} - t_k\right), \quad x, y, t \in T^\nu, \quad h_2(x; s) = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \left\{ 1 + \cos(x_i - s_i) \right. \\
 &- \left. \cos s_i - \cos x_i \right\}, \quad h_3(y; t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \left\{ 1 + \cos(y_i - t_i) - \cos t_i - \cos y_i \right\},
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$h_4(x; y; s; t) = F \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \left[1 + \cos(x_i + y_i - s_i - t_i) + \cos(s_i + t_i) + \cos(x_i + y_i) \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\cos(x_i - s_i - t_i) - \cos(y_i - s_i - t_i) - \cos x_i - \cos y_i] + Q \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} [\cos(x_i - t_i) + \cos(y_i - s_i)] \\
 & + M \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} [\cos(x_i - s_i) + \cos(y_i - t_i)] + N \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} [\cos s_i + \cos t_i + \cos(x_i + y_i - s_i) \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad + \cos(x_i + y_i - t_i)],
 \end{aligned}$$

here

$$\begin{aligned}
 A &= J_1 - 2sJ_2 + (2s)^2J_3 + \dots + (-1)^{2s+1}J_{2s}, \quad B = J_2 - (6s-1)J_3 + (28s^2-10s+1)J_4 - \\
 & (120s^3-68s^2+14s-1)J_5 + \dots, \quad C = J_1 + (4s^2-6s+1)J_2 - (24s^3-32s^2+10s-1)J_3 + \\
 & (112s^4-160s^3+72s^2-14s+1)J_4 - (480s^5-768s^4+448s^3-128s^2+18s-1)J_5 + \dots, \\
 D &= -2 \sum_{k=1}^{2s} (-2s)^k (J_k^0 - J_k), \quad E = D, \quad F = (2s - 4s^2)(J_2^0 - J_2) + (2s - 16s^2 + \\
 & 24s^3)(J_3^0 - J_3) + \dots + \dots, \quad Q = (-4s^2 + 2s)(J_2^0 - J_2) + (-4s + 20s^2 - 24s^3)(J_3^0 - \\
 & J_3) + \dots + \dots, \quad M = 2[(J_1^0 - J_1) - (1 + 5s + 2s^2)(J_2^0 - J_2) + (1 - 8s + 22s^2 - \\
 & 12s^3)(J_3^0 - J_3) + \dots + \dots], \quad N = -(J_1^0 - J_1) + (1 - 6s + 4s^2)(J_2^0 - J_2) - (1 - 10s + \\
 & 32s^2 - 24s^3)(J_3^0 - J_3) + \dots + \dots.
 \end{aligned}$$

In the isotropic non-Heisenberg ferromagnetic model of an arbitrary spin s with impurity, the spectral properties of the above operator in the two-magnon case are closely related to those of its two-particle subsystems. The initial system is usually called a three-particle system, and the corresponding Hamiltonian is called a three-particle operator. We first study the spectrum and the corresponding eigenvectors, which we call the localized impurity states (LIS) of one-magnon impurity systems, and the spectrum and the corresponding eigenvectors, which we call the bound states (BS) of two-magnon systems.

1. One-Magnon Impurity States

The spectrum and the LIS in the one-magnon case of the isotropic non-Heisenberg ferromagnetic model of arbitrary spin with impurity were studied in [1].

The Hamiltonian of a one-magnon impurity system also has the form (1). The vector $S_m^- \varphi_0$ describes the one magnon state of spin s located at the site m . The vectors $\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2s}} S_m^- \varphi_0\}$ form an orthonormal system. Let \mathcal{H}_1 be the Hilbert space spanned by these vectors. It is called the space of one-magnon states of the operator H . Denote by H_1 the restriction of the operator H to the space \mathcal{H}_1 .

Proposition 2. *The space \mathcal{H}_1 is an invariant subspace of the operator H . The operator $H_1 = H|_{\mathcal{H}_1}$ is a bounded self-adjoint operator generating a bounded self-adjoint operator \bar{H}_1 acting on the space $l_2(Z^\nu)$ according to the formula*

$$(\bar{H}_1 f)(p) = \sum_{k=1}^{\nu} (-1)^{k+1} J_k s^k \sum_{p, \tau} 2^{k-1} [2f(p) - f(p + \tau) - f(p - \tau)]$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{\nu} (-1)^{k+1} (J_k^0 - J_k) (2s)^k \sum_{p,\tau} (f(\tau) - f(0)) (\delta_{p,\tau} - \delta_{p,0}), \quad (3)$$

where $\delta_{k,j}$ is the Kronecker symbol, and the summation over τ is over the nearest neighbors. The operator H_1 acts on the vector $\psi = (2s)^{-1/2} \sum_p f(p) S_p^- \varphi_0 \in \mathcal{H}_1$ by the formula

$$H_1 \psi = \sum_p (\overline{H_1} f)(p) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2s}} S_p^- \varphi_0. \quad (4)$$

Proposition 2 is proved by using the well-known commutation relations for the operators $S_m^+, S_p^-,$ and $S_q^z : [S_m^+, S_n^-] = 2\delta_{m,n} S_m^z, [S_m^z, S_n^\pm] = \pm \delta_{m,n} S_m^\pm.$

Lemma 1. *The spectra of the operators H_1 and $\overline{H_1}$ coincide.*

P r o o f. Because H_1 and $\overline{H_1}$ are bounded self-adjoint operators, it follows that if $\lambda \in \sigma(H_1),$ then the Weyl criterion (see [2]) implies that there is a sequence $\{\psi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $\|\psi_n\| = 1$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|H_1 \psi_n - \lambda \psi_n\| = 0. \quad (5)$$

We set $\psi_n = (2s)^{-1/2} \sum_p f_n(p) S_p^- \varphi_0.$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|H_1 \psi_n - \lambda \psi_n\|^2 &= (H_1 \psi_n - \lambda \psi_n, H_1 \psi_n - \lambda \psi_n) \\ &= \sum_p \|(\overline{H_1} f_n(p) - \lambda f_n(p)) (\frac{1}{\sqrt{2s}} S_p^- \varphi_0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2s}} S_p^- \varphi_0)\|^2 = \|\overline{H_1} F_n - \lambda F_n\|^2 \\ &\times (\frac{1}{2s} S_p^+ S_p^- \varphi_0, \varphi_0) = \|(\overline{H_1} - \lambda) F_n\|^2 (\frac{1}{2s} 2s \varphi_0, \varphi_0) = \|(\overline{H_1} - \lambda) F_n\|^2 \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Here $F_n = (f_n(p))_{p \in Z^\nu}$ and $\|F_n\|^2 = \sum_p |f_n(p)|^2 = \|\psi_n\|^2 = 1.$ It follows that $\lambda \in \sigma(\overline{H_1}).$ Consequently, $\sigma(H_1) \subset \sigma(\overline{H_1}).$ Conversely, let $\bar{\lambda} \in \sigma(\overline{H_1}).$ Then, by the Weyl criterion, there is a sequence $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ such that

$$\|F_n\| = \sqrt{\sum_p |f_n(p)|^2} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|(\overline{H_1} F_n - \bar{\lambda} F_n)\| \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (6)$$

We conclude that $\|\psi_n\| = \|F_n\| = 1$ and $\|\overline{H_1} F_n - \bar{\lambda} F_n\| = \|H_1 \psi_n - \bar{\lambda} \psi_n\|.$ Thus (6) and the Weyl criterion imply that $\bar{\lambda} \in \sigma(H_1)$ and hence $\sigma(\overline{H_1}) \subset \sigma(H_1).$ These two relations imply that $\sigma(\overline{H_1}) = \sigma(H_1).$

The spectrum and the LIS of the operator H_1 can be easily studied in its quasimomentum representation. Denote by \mathcal{F} the Fourier transformation

$$\mathcal{F} : l_2(Z^\nu) \rightarrow L_2(T^\nu).$$

Here T^ν is the ν - dimensional torus endowed with the normalized Lebesgue measure $d\lambda : \lambda(T^\nu) = 1$.

Proposition 3. *The operator $\tilde{H}_1 = \mathcal{F}\bar{H}_1\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ acts on the space $L_2(T^\nu)$ by the formula*

$$(\tilde{H}_1 f)(x) = p(s)h(x)f(x) + q(s) \int_{T^\nu} h_1(x; t)f(t)dt, \quad (7)$$

where $h(x) = \nu - \sum_{i=1}^\nu \cos x_i$, $h_1(x; t) = \nu + \sum_{i=1}^\nu [\cos(x_i - t_i) - \cos x_i - \cos t_i]$, $p(s) = -2 \sum_{k=1}^{2s} (-2s)^k J_k$, $q(s) = -2 \sum_{k=1}^{2s} (-2s)^k (J_k^0 - J_k)$, $t \in T^\nu$.

To prove Proposition 3, the Fourier transform of (3) should be considered directly.

It is clear that the continuous spectrum of the operator \tilde{H}_1 is independent of $q(s)h_1(x; t)$ and it fills the whole closed interval $[m_\nu; M_\nu]$, where $m_\nu = \min_{x \in T^\nu} p(s)h(x)$, $M_\nu = \max_{x \in T^\nu} p(s)h(x)$.

Definition 1. *An eigenfunction $\varphi \in L_2(T^\nu)$ of the operator \tilde{H}_1 corresponding to an eigenvalue $z \notin [m_\nu; M_\nu]$ is called the LIS of the operator \tilde{H}_1 , and z is called the energy of this state.*

We consider the operator $K_\nu(z)$ acting on the space $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_1$ according to the formula

$$(K_\nu(z)f)(x) = \int_{T^\nu} \frac{h_1(x; t)}{p(s)h(t) - z} f(t)dt, \quad x, t \in T^\nu.$$

It is a compact operator in the space $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_1$ for the values z lying outside the set $G_\nu = [m_\nu; M_\nu]$.

Set

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\nu(z) &= (1 + q(s) \int_{T^\nu} \frac{(1 - \cos t_1)(\nu - \sum_{i=1}^\nu \cos t_i)dt}{p(s)h(t) - z}) \times (1 + q(s) \int_{T^\nu} \frac{\sin^2 t_1 dt}{p(s)h(t) - z})^\nu \\ &\quad \times (1 + \frac{q(s)}{2} \int_{T^\nu} \frac{(\cos t_1 - \cos t_2)^2 dt}{p(s)h(t) - z})^{\nu-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where $dt = dt_1 dt_2 \dots dt_\nu$.

Lemma 2. *A number $z_0 \notin [m_\nu; M_\nu]$ is an eigenvalue of the operator \tilde{H}_1 if and only if it is a zero of the function $\Delta_\nu(z)$, i.e., $\Delta_\nu(z_0) = 0$.*

P r o o f. In the case under consideration, the equation for the eigenvalues is an integral equation with a degenerate kernel. Therefore it is equivalent to a

homogeneous linear system of algebraical equations. A homogeneous linear system of algebraic equations has a nontrivial solution if and only if the determinant of the system is zero. Taking into account that the function $h(s_1; s_2; \dots; s_\nu)$ is symmetric and carrying out the corresponding transformations, we present the determinant of the system in the form $\Delta_\nu(z)$.

We denote a set of all pairs $\omega = (p(s); q(s))$ by Ω and introduce the following subsets in Ω for $\nu = 1$:

$$A_1 = \{\omega : p(s) > 0, -p(s) \leq q(s) < 0\}, A_2 = \{\omega : p(s) > 0, q(s) < -p(s)\},$$

$$A_3 = \{\omega : p(s) < 0, q(s) < p(s)\}, A_4 = \{\omega : p(s) > 0, p(s) < q(s)\},$$

$$A_5 = \{\omega : p(s) > 0, 0 < q(s) \leq p(s)\}, A_6 = \{\omega : p(s) < 0, q(s) \geq p(s)\},$$

$$A_7 = \{\omega : p(s) < 0, 0 < q(s) < -p(s)\}, A_8 = \{\omega : p(s) < 0, q(s) > -p(s)\}.$$

We write

$$z_1 = -\frac{[p(s) + q(s)][p(s) - 3q(s) + \sqrt{D}]}{4q(s)},$$

$$z_2 = \frac{[p(s) + q(s)]^2}{2q(s)},$$

$$z_3 = -\frac{[p(s) + q(s)][p(s) - 3q(s) - \sqrt{D}]}{4q(s)},$$

where $D = [p(s) + q(s)][p(s) + 9q(s)]$.

The following theorem describes the variation of the energy spectrum of the operator \tilde{H}_1 in the one-dimensional case.

Theorem 1. (i) If $\omega \in A_2 \cup A_3$, ($\omega \in A_4 \cup A_8$), then the operator \tilde{H}_1 has exactly two LIS's, φ_1 and φ_2 , with the respective energies z_1 and z_2 (z_2 and z_3) satisfying the inequalities $z_1 < z_2$ ($z_2 < z_3$) and $z_i < m_1$, $i = 1, 2$ ($z_j > M_1$, $j = 2, 3$).

(ii) If $\omega \in A_6$ ($\omega \in A_5$), then the operator \tilde{H}_1 has a single LIS φ with the energy $z = z_1$ ($z = z_3$) satisfying the inequality $z_1 < m_1$ ($z_3 > M_1$).

(iii) If $\omega \in A_1 \cup A_7$, then the operator \tilde{H}_1 has no LIS.

We sketch the proof of Theorem 1. In the one-dimensional case, the equation $\Delta_1(z) = 0$ is equivalent to the system of two equations,

$$1 + q(s) \int_T \frac{(1 - \cos t)^2 dt}{p(s)h(t) - z} = 0, \tag{9}$$

and

$$1 + q(s) \int_T \frac{\sin^2 t dt}{p(s)h(t) - z} = 0. \tag{10}$$

In the one-dimensional case, the integrals in equations (9) and (10) can be found explicitly for the values $z \notin G_1 = [m_1; M_1]$. We obtain:

(a) for $z < m_1$:

$$1 + \frac{q(s)}{p(s)} + \frac{zq(s)}{p^2(s)} + \frac{z^2q(s)}{p^2(s)\sqrt{z[z-2p(s)]}} = 0, \quad (11)$$

and

$$1 + \frac{q(s)}{p(s)} - \frac{zq(s)}{p^2(s)} + \frac{zq(s)}{p(s)\sqrt{z[z-2p(s)]}} - \frac{zq(s)[z-2p(s)]}{p^2(s)\sqrt{z[z-2p(s)]}} = 0, \quad (12)$$

(b) for $z > M_1$:

$$1 + \frac{q(s)}{p(s)} + \frac{zq(s)}{p^2(s)} - \frac{z^2q(s)}{p^2(s)\sqrt{z[z-2p(s)]}} = 0, \quad (13)$$

and

$$1 + \frac{q(s)}{p(s)} - \frac{zq(s)}{p^2(s)} - \frac{zq(s)}{p(s)\sqrt{z[z-2p(s)]}} + \frac{zq(s)[z-2p(s)]}{p^2(s)\sqrt{z[z-2p(s)]}} = 0. \quad (14)$$

In turn, these equations are equivalent to the next equations:

(a) for $z < m_1$:

$$\{p^2(s) + p(s)q(s) + zq(s)\}\sqrt{z[z-2p(s)]} + z^2q(s) = 0, \quad (11')$$

and

$$\{p^2(s) + p(s)q(s) - zq(s)\}\sqrt{z[z-2p(s)]} - zq(s)[z-2p(s)] = 0, \quad (12')$$

(b) for $z > M_1$:

$$\{p^2(s) + p(s)q(s) + zq(s)\}\sqrt{z[z-2p(s)]} - z^2q(s) = 0, \quad (13')$$

and

$$\{p^2(s) + p(s)q(s) - zq(s)\}\sqrt{z[z-2p(s)]} + zq(s)[z-2p(s)] = 0. \quad (14')$$

Solving equation (11'), we find the root $z = z_1$, and solving equation (12'), we find the root $z = z_2$. In turn, solving equation (13'), we find the root $z = z_3$, and solving equation (14'), we find the root $z = z_2$. Whence the proof of Theorem 1 immediately follows in view of the existence of conditions for these solutions.

In the case of the dimension $\nu = 2$, for the pairs ω , we introduce:

$$B_1 = \{\omega : p(s) > 0, -p(s) \leq q(s) < 0\}, \quad B_2 = \{\omega : p(s) < 0, 0 < q(s) \leq -p(s)\},$$

$B_3 = \{\omega : p(s) > 0, -\frac{25}{9}p(s) \leq q(s) < -p(s)\}$, $B_4 = \{\omega : p(s) < 0, \frac{25}{9}p(s) \leq q(s) < 0\}$, $B_5 = \{\omega : p(s) > 0, 0 < q(s) < \frac{25}{9}p(s)\}$, $B_6 = \{\omega : p(s) < 0, -p(s) \leq q(s) < -\frac{25}{9}p(s)\}$, $B_7 = \{\omega : p(s) > 0, -\frac{100}{27}p(s) \leq q(s) < -p(s)\}$, $B_8 = \{\omega : p(s) < 0, \frac{100}{27}p(s) \leq q(s) < \frac{25}{9}p(s)\}$, $B_9 = \{\omega : p(s) > 0, \frac{25}{9}p(s) \leq q(s) < \frac{100}{27}p(s)\}$, $B_{10} = \{\omega : p(s) < 0, -\frac{25}{9}p(s) \leq q(s) < -\frac{100}{27}p(s)\}$, $B_{11} = \{\omega : p(s) > 0, q(s) \leq -\frac{100}{27}p(s)\}$, $B_{12} = \{\omega : p(s) < 0, q(s) \leq \frac{100}{27}p(s)\}$, $B_{13} = \{\omega : p(s) > 0, q(s) \geq -\frac{100}{27}p(s)\}$, $B_{14} = \{\omega : p(s) < 0, q(s) > -\frac{100}{27}p(s)\}$.

The next theorem describes the variation of the energy spectrum of the operator \tilde{H}_1 in the two-dimensional case.

Theorem 2. (i) If $\omega \in B_1 \cup B_2$, then the operator \tilde{H}_1 has no LIS.

(ii) If $\omega \in B_3 \cup B_4$ ($\omega \in B_5 \cup B_6$), then the operator \tilde{H}_1 has a single LIS φ with the energy z_1 (z_2), where $z_1 < m_2$ ($z_2 > M_2$). The energy level is of multiplicity one.

(iii) If $\omega \in B_7 \cup B_8$ ($\omega \in B_9 \cup B_{10}$) then the operator \tilde{H}_1 has exactly two LIS's, φ_1 and φ_2 , with the respective energies z_1 and z_2 (z_3 and z_4), where $z_i < m_2$, $i = 1, 2$ ($z_j > M_2$, $j = 3, 4$). The energy levels are of multiplicity one.

(iv) If $\omega \in B_{11} \cup B_{12}$ ($\omega \in B_{13} \cup B_{14}$), then the operator \tilde{H}_1 has three LIS's, φ_1 , φ_2 and φ_3 , with the respective energies z_1, z_2 and z_3 (z_4, z_5 and z_6), where $z_i < m_2$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ ($z_j > M_2$, $j = 4, 5, 6$). The energy levels z_1 and z_3 (z_4 and z_6) are of multiplicity one, while z_2 (z_5) is of multiplicity two.

P r o o f. The functions

$$\varphi(z) = \int_{T^2} \frac{(1 - \cos t_1)(2 - \cos t_1 - \cos t_2) dt}{p(s)h(t) - z}, \quad \psi(z) = \int_{T^2} \frac{\sin^2 t_1 dt}{p(s)h(t) - z},$$

$$\theta(z) = \int_{T^2} \frac{(\cos t_1 - \cos t_2)^2 dt}{p(s)h(t) - z}$$

are the monotone increasing functions of z for $z \notin [m_2; M_2]$. Their values can be exactly calculated at the points $z = m_2$ and $z = M_2$. For $z < m_2$ and $p(s) > 0$, the function $\varphi(z)$ increases from 0 to $(p(s))^{-1}$, the function $\psi(z)$ increases from 0 to $9(25p(s))^{-1}$, and the function $\theta(z)$ increases from 0 to $27(50p(s))^{-1}$. For $z > M_2$ and $p(s) > 0$, these functions increase from $-\infty$ to 0, from $-9(25p(s))^{-1}$ to 0, and from $-27(50p(s))^{-1}$ to 0, respectively. If $p(s) < 0$ and $z < m_2$, then they increase from 0 to ∞ , from 0 to $-9(25p(s))^{-1}$, and from 0 to $-27(50p(s))^{-1}$, respectively. For $p(s) < 0$ and $z > M_2$, the functions $\varphi(z)$, $\psi(z)$, and $\theta(z)$ increase from $(p(s))^{-1}$

to 0, from $9(25p(s))^{-1}$ to 0, and from $27(50p(s))^{-1}$ to 0. Investigating the equation $\Delta_2(z) = 0$ outside the domain of the continuous spectrum, we immediately prove the assertion of Theorem 2.

In the case $\nu = 3$, we introduce the notation:

$$a = \int_{T^3} \frac{\sin^2 s_1 ds_1 ds_2 ds_3}{3 - \cos s_1 - \cos s_2 - \cos s_3} = \int_{T^3} \frac{\sin^2 s_1 ds_1 ds_2 ds_3}{3 + \cos s_1 + \cos s_2 + \cos s_3},$$

$$b = \int_{T^3} \frac{(\cos s_1 - \cos s_2)^2 ds_1 ds_2 ds_3}{3 - \cos s_1 - \cos s_2 - \cos s_3} = \int_{T^3} \frac{(\cos s_1 - \cos s_2)^2 ds_1 ds_2 ds_3}{3 + \cos s_1 + \cos s_2 + \cos s_3}.$$

As it is seen, we have $0 < a < b < 1$ and $2a < b$. We now consider the following subsets in Ω for the case $\nu = 3$:

$$Q_1 = \{\omega : p(s) > 0, -p(s) < q(s) < 0\}, \quad Q_2 = \{\omega : p(s) > 0, 0 < q(s) < \frac{p(s)}{3}\},$$

$$Q_3 = \{\omega : p(s) < 0, \frac{p(s)}{3} < q(s) < 0\}, \quad Q_4 = \{\omega : p(s) < 0, 0 < q(s) < -p(s)\},$$

$$Q_5 = \{\omega : p(s) > 0, -\frac{2p(s)}{b} < q(s) \leq -p(s)\}, \quad Q_6 = \{\omega : p(s) < 0,$$

$$\frac{2p(s)}{b} < q(s) \leq \frac{p(s)}{3}\}, \quad Q_7 = \{\omega : p(s) > 0, \frac{p(s)}{3} < q(s) \leq \frac{2p(s)}{b}\},$$

$$Q_8 = \{\omega : p(s) < 0, -p(s) < q(s) \leq -\frac{2p(s)}{b}\}, \quad Q_9 = \{\omega : p(s) > 0,$$

$$-\frac{p(s)}{a} \leq q(s) < -\frac{2p(s)}{b}\}, \quad Q_{10} = \{\omega : p(s) < 0, \frac{p(s)}{a} < q(s) \leq \frac{2p(s)}{b}\},$$

$$Q_{11} = \{\omega : p(s) > 0, \frac{2p(s)}{b} \leq q(s) < \frac{p(s)}{a}\}, \quad Q_{12} = \{\omega : p(s) < 0,$$

$$-\frac{2p(s)}{b} \leq q(s) < -\frac{p(s)}{a}\}, \quad Q_{13} = \{\omega : p(s) > 0, q(s) \leq -\frac{p(s)}{a}\},$$

$$Q_{14} = \{\omega : p(s) < 0, q(s) \leq \frac{p(s)}{a}\}, \quad Q_{15} = \{\omega : p(s) > 0, \frac{p(s)}{a} \leq q(s)\},$$

$$Q_{16} = \{\omega : p(s) < 0, -\frac{p(s)}{a} \leq q(s)\}.$$

Theorem 3. (i) If $\omega \in Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup Q_3 \cup Q_4$, then the operator \tilde{H}_1 has no LIS.

(ii) If $\omega \in Q_5 \cup Q_6$ ($\omega \in Q_7 \cup Q_8$), then the operator \tilde{H}_1 has a single LIS φ with the energy $z < m_3$ ($z > M_3$). The energy level is of multiplicity one.

(iii) If $\omega \in Q_9 \cup Q_{10}$ ($\omega \in Q_{11} \cup Q_{12}$), then the operator \tilde{H}_1 has two LIS's, φ_1 and φ_2 , with the energy levels z_1 and z_2 (z_3 and z_4), where $z_i < m_3$, $i = 1, 2$ ($z_j > M_3$, $j = 3, 4$). Furthermore, the energy level z_1 (z_3) is of multiplicity one, while z_2 (z_4) is of multiplicity two.

(iv) If $\omega \in Q_{13} \cup Q_{14}$ ($\omega \in Q_{15} \cup Q_{16}$), then the operator \tilde{H}_1 has exactly three LIS's, φ_1, φ_2 and φ_3 , with the energies z_1, z_2 and z_3 (z_4, z_5 and z_6) satisfying the inequalities $z_i < m_3$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ ($z_j > M_3$, $j = 4, 5, 6$). Moreover, the energy level z_1 (z_4) is of multiplicity one, z_2 (z_5) is of multiplicity two, and z_3 (z_6) is of multiplicity three.

Theorem 3 is proved basing on the monotonicity of the functions

$$\varphi(z) = \int_{T^3} \frac{(1 - \cos t_1)(3 - \cos t_1 - \cos t_2 - \cos t_3)dt}{p(s)h(t) - z}, \quad \psi(z) = \int_{T^3} \frac{\sin^2 t_1 dt}{p(s)h(t) - z},$$

$$\theta(z) = \int_{T^3} \frac{(\cos t_1 - \cos t_2)^2 dt}{p(s)h(t) - z}$$

for $z \notin [m_3; M_3]$. Further we will use the values of the Watson integral [3]. It should be taken into account that the measure is normalized in the case under consideration.

It can be similarly proved that in the ν - dimensional lattice, the system has at most three types of LIS's (not counting the degeneracy multiplicities of their energy levels) with the energies $z_i \notin [m_\nu; M_\nu]$. Furthermore, for $i = 1, 2, 3$, the corresponding energy levels are of multiplicity one, of multiplicity ν and of multiplicity $(\nu - 1)$. The domains of these LIS's can also be found.

We now consider the case $p(s) \equiv 0$. If $p(s) \equiv 0$ and $J_n \neq 0, n = 1, 2, \dots, 2s$, then the function $\Delta_\nu(z) = 0$ takes the form $\Delta_\nu(z) = \det A \times \det B$, where $A =$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 & b_1 & \cdots & b_1 \\ a_2 & b_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ a_2 & 0 & b_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & b_2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ is a } (\nu+1) \times (\nu+1) \text{ matrix, } B = \begin{pmatrix} b_2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & b_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & b_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a diagonal $\nu \times \nu$ matrix. Here

$$a_1 = 1 - \frac{\nu q(s)}{2z}, \quad a_2 = \frac{q(s)}{2z}, \quad b_1 = \frac{q(s)}{z}, \quad b_2 = 1 - \frac{q(s)}{2z}.$$

Theorem 4. If $p(s) \equiv 0$, and $J_n \neq 0, n = 1, 2, \dots, 2s$, then the operator \tilde{H}_1 has exactly two LIS's (not counting the multiplicities of degeneration of their

energy levels), φ_1 and φ_2 , with the energies $z_1 = \frac{q(s)}{2}$ and $z_2 = \frac{2\nu+1}{2}q(s)$. The energy z_1 is of multiplicity $(2\nu - 1)-$, while z_2 is of multiplicity one. Moreover, $z_i < m_\nu, i = 1, 2, (z_i > M_\nu, i = 1, 2)$, if $q(s) < 0 (q(s) > 0)$.

P r o o f. The equation $\Delta_\nu(z) = 0$ is equivalent to the system of two equations,

$$b_2^{2\nu-1} = 0 \tag{15}$$

and

$$a_1 b_2 - \nu a_2 b_1 = 0. \tag{16}$$

Equation (15) has a root equal to $z = \frac{q(s)}{2}$, and it is clear that its multiplicity is $2\nu - 1$, while equation (16) has a solution $z = z_2$. Consequently, for the arbitrary values of ν , the system has at most three types of LIS's.

2. Two-Magnon States

The Hamiltonian of a two-magnon system has the form

$$H' = - \sum_{m,\tau} \sum_{n=1}^{2s} J_n (\vec{S}_m \vec{S}_{m+\tau})^n, \tag{17}$$

where $J_n > 0$ are the parameters of the multipole exchange interaction between the nearest-neighbor atoms in the lattice. Hamiltonian (17) acts on the symmetric Fock space \mathcal{H} . The vector $S_m^- S_n^- \varphi_0$ describes the state of a system of two magnons with spin s located at the sites m and n . The vectors $\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{4s^2 + (4s^2 - 4s)\delta_{m,n}}} S_m^- S_n^- \varphi_0 \}$ form an orthonormal system. Denote the Hilbert space spanned by these vectors by \mathcal{H}_2 . It is called the space of two-magnon states of the operator H' . By H'_2 , we denote the restriction of the operator H' to $\mathcal{H}_2 : H'_2 = H' / \mathcal{H}_2$.

We find the action of operator (17) on the space $l_2(Z^\nu \times Z^\nu)$, i.e., the coordinate representation for the spin values $s = 1, s = 3/2, s = 2, s = 5/2$, and obtain the momentum representation of these operators in the space $L_2(T^\nu \times T^\nu)$. Finally, we generalize these formulas for the arbitrary values of s . The operator \tilde{H}'_2 in the momentum representation acts on the space $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_2$ according to the formula

$$(\tilde{H}'_2 f)(x; y) = h(x; y) f(x; y) + \int_{T^\nu} h_1(x; y; t) f(t; x + y - t) dt, \tag{18}$$

where

$$h(x; y) = A \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} [1 - \cos \frac{x_i + y_i}{2} \cos \frac{x_i - y_i}{2}]$$

and

$$h_1(x; y; t) = B \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} [1 - 2 \cos \frac{x_i + y_i}{2} \cos \frac{x_i - y_i}{2} + \cos(x_i + y_i)] - C \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} [\cos \frac{x_i - y_i}{2} - \cos \frac{x_i + y_i}{2}] \cos(\frac{x_i + y_i}{2} - t_i), \quad x, y, t \in T^{\nu}.$$

Here

$$A = \begin{cases} 8(J_1 - 2J_2), & \text{if } s = 1, \\ 12(J_1 - 3J_2 + 9J_3), & \text{if } s = 3/2, \\ 16(J_1 - 4J_2 + 16J_3 - 64J_4), & \text{if } s = 2, \\ 20(J_1 - 5J_2 + 25J_3 - 125J_4 + 625J_5), & \text{if } s = 5/2, \end{cases}$$

$$B = \begin{cases} -4J_2, & \text{if } s = 1, \\ -12(J_2 - 8J_3), & \text{if } s = 3/2, \\ -24(J_2 - 11J_3 + 93J_4), & \text{if } s = 2, \\ -40(J_2 - 15J_3 + 151J_4 - 1484J_5), & \text{if } s = 5/2, \end{cases}$$

$$C = \begin{cases} -4(J_1 - J_2), & \text{if } s = 1, \\ -4(J_1 + J_2 - 23J_3), & \text{if } s = 3/2, \\ -4(J_1 + 5J_2 - 83J_3 + 773J_4), & \text{if } s = 2, \\ -4(J_1 + 11J_2 - 199J_3 + 2291J_4 - 23119J_5), & \text{if } s = 5/2. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 4. *The space \mathcal{H}_2 is invariant with respect to the operator H' . The operator $H'_2 = H'/_{\mathcal{H}_2}$ is a bounded self-adjoint operator generating a bounded self-adjoint operator \overline{H}'_2 acting on the space $l_2(Z^{\nu} \times Z^{\nu})$. The operator H'_2 in the momentum representation in the space $L_2(T^{\nu} \times T^{\nu})$ acts according to the formula*

$$(\widetilde{H}'_2 f)(x; y) = h(x; y) f(x; y) + \int_{T^{\nu}} h_1(x; y; s) f(s; x + y - s) ds, \quad (19)$$

where

$$h(x; y) = 8sA \sum_{k=1}^{\nu} [1 - \cos \frac{x_k + y_k}{2} \cos \frac{x_k - y_k}{2}],$$

$$h_1(x; y; t) = -4s(2s - 1)B \sum_{k=1}^{\nu} \{1 + \cos(x_k + y_k) - 2 \cos \frac{x_k + y_k}{2} \cos \frac{x_k - y_k}{2}\} - 4C \sum_{k=1}^{\nu} \{ \cos \frac{x_k - y_k}{2} - \cos \frac{x_k + y_k}{2} \} \cos(\frac{x_k + y_k}{2} - t_k), \quad x, y, t \in T^{\nu},$$

here $A = J_1 - 2sJ_2 + (2s)^2 J_3 + \dots + (-1)^{2s+1} J_{2s}$, $B = J_2 - (6s - 1)J_3 + (28s^2 - 10s + 1)J_4 - (120s^3 - 68s^2 + 14s - 1)J_5 + \dots$, $C = J_1 + (4s^2 - 6s + 1)J_2 - (24s^3 -$

$$32s^2 + 10s - 1)J_3 + (112s^4 - 160s^3 + 72s^2 - 14s + 1)J_4 - (480s^5 - 768s^4 + 448s^3 - 128s^2 + 18s - 1)J_5 + \dots$$

The spectra and bound states of the energy operator of two-magnon systems in the isotropic non-Heisenberg ferromagnetic model of arbitrary spin s with impurity were studied in [4]. We consider the manifolds $\Gamma_\Lambda = \{(x; y) : x + y = \Lambda\}$.

The following fact is important for further studying of the spectrum of the operator \widetilde{H}'_2 .

Let the total quasi-momentum of the system $x + y = \Lambda$ be fixed. By $L_2(\Gamma_\Lambda)$, we denote the space of functions that are square integrable over the manifold $\Gamma_\Lambda = \{(x; y) : x + y = \Lambda\}$. It is known [5] that the operators \widetilde{H}'_2 and the space $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_2$ can be decomposed into the direct integrals $\widetilde{H}'_2 = \bigoplus \int_{T^\nu} \widetilde{H}'_{2\Lambda} d\Lambda$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_2 = \bigoplus \int_{T^\nu} \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2\Lambda} d\Lambda$ of the operators $\widetilde{H}'_{2\Lambda}$ and the space $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2\Lambda}$ such that the spaces $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2\Lambda}$ are invariant under $\widetilde{H}'_{2\Lambda}$, and the operator $\widetilde{H}'_{2\Lambda}$ acts on the space $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2\Lambda}$ as

$$(\widetilde{H}'_{2\Lambda} f_\Lambda)(x) = h_\Lambda(x) f_\Lambda(x) - \int_{T^\nu} h_{1\Lambda}(x; t) f_\Lambda(t) dt,$$

where $h_\Lambda(x) = h(x; \Lambda - x)$, $h_{1\Lambda}(x; t) = h_1(x; \Lambda - x; t)$ and $f_\Lambda(x) = f(x; \Lambda - x)$.

It is known that the continuous spectrum of the operator \widetilde{H}'_2 is independent of the functions $h_{1\Lambda}(x; t)$ and it consists of the intervals $G_\Lambda = [m_\Lambda; M_\Lambda]$, where $m_\Lambda = \min_x h_\Lambda(x)$, $M_\Lambda = \max_x h_\Lambda(x)$.

The eigenfunction $\varphi_\Lambda \in L_2(T^\nu)$ of the operator \widetilde{H}'_2 corresponding to an eigenvalue $z_\Lambda \notin G_\Lambda$ is called the bound state of the operator \widetilde{H}'_2 , and z_Λ is called the energy of this BS.

Denote the $2s$ -th $(J_1; J_2; \dots; J_{2s})$ by P and introduce the following subsets of the $2s$ -th P for $\nu = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= \{P : A < 0, B < 0, C < 0\}, & Q_2 &= \{P : A > 0, B > 0, C > 0\}, \\ Q_3 &= \{P : A > 0, B > 0, C < 0\}, & Q_4 &= \{P : A < 0, B < 0, C > 0\}, \\ Q_5 &= \{P : A < 0, B > 0, C < 0\}, & Q_6 &= \{P : A > 0, B < 0, C > 0\}, \\ Q_7 &= \{P : B = 0, A = C > 0\}, & Q_8 &= \{P : B = 0, A = C < 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\Delta'_\Lambda(z) = \det D$, where

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} d_{1,1} & d_{1,2} & d_{1,3} & \cdots & d_{1,\nu+1} \\ d_{2,1} & d_{2,2} & d_{2,3} & \cdots & d_{2,\nu+1} \\ d_{3,1} & d_{3,2} & d_{3,3} & \cdots & d_{3,\nu+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ d_{\nu,1} & d_{\nu,2} & d_{\nu,3} & \cdots & d_{\nu,\nu+1} \\ d_{\nu+1,1} & d_{\nu+1,2} & d_{\nu+1,3} & \cdots & d_{\nu+1,\nu+1} \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_{1,1} &= 1 - 4s(2s - 1)B \int_{T^\nu} \frac{g_\Lambda(s)ds}{h_\Lambda(s) - z}, \\
 d_{1,k+1} &= -4C \int_{T^\nu} \frac{f_{\Lambda_k}(s_k)ds}{h_\Lambda(s) - z}, \quad k = \overline{1, \nu}, \\
 d_{k+1,1} &= -4s(2s - 1)B \int_{T^\nu} \frac{\eta_{\Lambda_k}(s_k)g_\Lambda(s)ds}{h_\Lambda(s) - z}, \quad k = \overline{1, \nu}, \\
 d_{k+1,k+1} &= 1 - 4C \int_{T^\nu} \frac{\eta_{\Lambda_k}(s_k)f_{\Lambda_k}(s_k)ds}{h_\Lambda(s) - z}, \quad k = \overline{1, \nu}, \\
 d_{k+1,i+1} &= -4C \int_{T^\nu} \frac{\eta_{\Lambda_k}(s_k)f_{\Lambda_i}(s_i)ds}{h_\Lambda(s) - z}, \quad k = \overline{1, \nu}, \quad i = \overline{1, \nu}, \quad k \neq i.
 \end{aligned}$$

In these formulas

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_\Lambda(s) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\nu} [1 + \cos \Lambda_k - 2 \cos \frac{\Lambda_k}{2} \cos(\frac{\Lambda_k}{2} - s_k)], \\
 f_{\Lambda_k}(s_k) &= \cos(\frac{\Lambda_k}{2} - s_k) - \cos \frac{\Lambda_k}{2}, \quad k = \overline{1, \nu}, \quad \eta_{\Lambda_k}(s_k) = \cos(\frac{\Lambda_k}{2} - s_k), \quad k = \overline{1, \nu}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3. *A number $z = z_0 \notin G_\Lambda$ is an eigenvalue of the operator $\tilde{H}'_{2\Lambda}$ if and only if it is a zero of the function $\Delta'_\Lambda(z)$, i.e., $\Delta'_\Lambda(z_0) = 0$.*

The proof of Lemma 3 is similar to that of Lemma 2.

In the case when $\nu = 1$, the change of the energy spectrum is described by the theorems below.

Theorem 5. 1. *Let $P \in Q_1$ and $\Lambda \in]0; \pi[$ ($\Lambda \in]\pi; 2\pi[$).*

a) *If $C \neq 2s(2s - 1)B$, then the operator \tilde{H}'_2 has two BS's, φ_1 and φ_2 , with the energy levels $z_1 < m_\Lambda$ and $z_2 > M_\Lambda$.*

b) *If $C = 2s(2s - 1)B$, then the operator \tilde{H}'_2 has only one BS φ with the energy level $z < m_\Lambda$.*

2. *Let $P \in Q_2$ and $\Lambda \in]0; \pi[$ ($\Lambda \in]\pi; 2\pi[$).*

a) *If $2sA < C < 2s(2s - 1)B$, $\cos \frac{\Lambda}{2} > \frac{C}{2s(2s-1)B}$, ($C > 2s(2s - 1)B$, $A < (2s - 1)B$), then the operator \tilde{H}'_2 has three BS's, $\varphi_i, i = 1, 2, 3$; with the energy values $z_k < m_\Lambda, k = 1, 2$; and $z_3 > M_\Lambda$.*

b) *If $C < 2sA < 2s(2s - 1)B$, $\cos \frac{\Lambda}{2} > \frac{C}{2s(2s-1)B}$, ($C > 2s(2s - 1)B$, $A = (2s - 1)B$), then the operator \tilde{H}'_2 has two BS's, $\varphi_i, i = 1, 2$, corresponding to the*

energy values $z_1 < m_\Lambda$ and $z_2 > M_\Lambda$. In this case the third BS vanishes because it is absorbed by the continuous spectrum.

c) If $C < 2s(2s-1)B < (2s-1)A$, $\cos \frac{\Lambda}{2} > \frac{C}{2s(2s-1)B}$, ($C > 2s(2s-1)B$, $A > (2s-1)B$), then the operator \tilde{H}'_2 has only one BS φ with the energy value $z > M_\Lambda$.

d) If $C = 2s(2s-1)B$, then the operator \tilde{H}'_2 has only one BS φ with the energy value $z < m_\Lambda$.

e) If $C > 2s(2s-1)B$ ($C < 2s(2s-1)B$), then the operator \tilde{H}'_2 has two BS's, φ_1, φ_2 , corresponding to the energy values $z_1 < m_\Lambda$, $z_2 > M_\Lambda$.

3. Let $P \in Q_3$ and $\Lambda \in]0; \pi[$ ($\Lambda \in]\pi; 2\pi[$).

a) If $C \geq -2s(2s-1)B$, then the operator \tilde{H}'_2 has two BS's, φ_1 and φ_2 , with the energy values z_1 and z_2 , where $z_1 < m_\Lambda$, and $z_2 > M_\Lambda$.

b) If $C < 2s(2s-1)B$, then the operator \tilde{H}'_2 has only one BS φ with the energy value $z < m_\Lambda$.

4. Let $P \in Q_4$ and $\Lambda \in]0; \pi[$ ($\Lambda \in]\pi; 2\pi[$).

a) If $2sA - 2s(2s-1)B - C > 0$, $\cos \frac{\Lambda}{2} > \frac{C}{2sA - 2s(2s-1)B - C}$ ($\cos \frac{\Lambda}{2} \neq \frac{C}{2s(2s-1)B}$), then the operator \tilde{H}'_2 has three (two) BS's, φ_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$ (φ_j , $j = 1, 2$) corresponding to the energy values $z_k < m_\Lambda$, $k = 1, 2$; $z_3 > M_\Lambda$ ($z_1 < m_\Lambda$, $z_2 > M_\Lambda$).

b) If $2sA - 2s(2s-1)B - C > 0$, $-\frac{C}{2s(2s-1)B} < \cos \frac{\Lambda}{2} < \frac{C}{2sA - 2s(2s-1)B - C}$ or $2sA - 2s(2s-1)B - C < 0$ ($\cos \frac{\Lambda}{2} = \frac{C}{2s(2s-1)B}$), then the operator \tilde{H}'_2 has only one BS φ with the energy value $z > M_\Lambda$.

5. Let $P \in Q_5$ and $\Lambda \in]0; \pi[$ ($\Lambda \in]\pi; 2\pi[$).

a) If $\cos \frac{\Lambda}{2} > -\frac{C}{2s(2s-1)B}$, $C \geq 2sA$ ($\cos \frac{\Lambda}{2} < \frac{C}{2s(2s-1)B}$, $C \geq 2sA$), then the operator \tilde{H}'_2 has three BS's, φ_1, φ_2 and φ_3 , corresponding to the energy values $z_i < m_\Lambda$, $i = 1, 2$; and $z_3 > M_\Lambda$.

b) If $C < 2sA$, $2sA - 2s(2s-1)B - C < 0$, $\cos \frac{\Lambda}{2} > \frac{C}{2sA - 2s(2s-1)B - C}$ ($C < 2sA$, $2sA - 2s(2s-1)B - C < 0$, $\cos \frac{\Lambda}{2} < -\frac{C}{2sA - 2s(2s-1)B - C}$), then the operator \tilde{H}'_2 has three BS's, φ_1, φ_2 and φ_3 , corresponding to the energy values $z_i < m_\Lambda$, $i = 1, 2$; and $z_3 > M_\Lambda$.

c) If $C < 2sA$, $2sA - 2s(2s-1)B - C < 0$, $-\frac{C}{2s(2s-1)B} < \cos \frac{\Lambda}{2} < \frac{C}{2s(2s-1)B}$ ($C < 2sA$, $2sA - 2s(2s-1)B - C < 0$, $-\frac{C}{2sA - 2s(2s-1)B - C} \leq \cos \frac{\Lambda}{2} < \frac{C}{2s(2s-1)B}$) or $C < 2sA$, $2sA - 2s(2s-1)B - C \geq 0$ ($C > 2sA$, $2sA - 2s(2s-1)B - C \geq 0$), then the operator \tilde{H}'_2 has only one BS φ with the energy value $z > M_\Lambda$.

d) If $\cos \frac{\Lambda}{2} = -\frac{C}{2s(2s-1)B}$, $C \geq 2sA$ ($\cos \frac{\Lambda}{2} = \frac{C}{2s(2s-1)B}$, $C \geq 2sA$), then the operator \tilde{H}'_2 has two BS's, φ_1 and φ_2 , with the energy values $z_1 < m_\Lambda$ and $z_2 > M_\Lambda$.

e) If $\cos \frac{\Lambda}{2} < -\frac{C}{2s(2s-1)B}$ ($\cos \frac{\Lambda}{2} > \frac{C}{2s(2s-1)B}$), then the operator \tilde{H}'_2 has two BS's, φ_1 and φ_2 , with the energy values $z_1 < m_\Lambda$ and $z_2 > M_\Lambda$.

f) If $\cos \frac{\Lambda}{2} = -\frac{C}{2s(2s-1)B}$, $C < 2sA$ ($\cos \frac{\Lambda}{2} > \frac{C}{2s(2s-1)B}$, $C < 2sA$), then the operator \tilde{H}'_2 has only one BS φ with the energy value $z > M_\Lambda$.

6. Let $P \in Q_6$ and $\Lambda \in]0; \pi[$ ($\Lambda \in]\pi; 2\pi[$).

a) If $\cos \frac{\Lambda}{2} < -\frac{C}{2s(2s-1)B}$ ($\cos \frac{\Lambda}{2} > \frac{C}{2s(2s-1)B}$), then the operator \tilde{H}'_2 has two BS's, φ_1 and φ_2 , with the energy values $z_1 < m_\Lambda$, and $z_2 > M_\Lambda$.

b) If $\cos \frac{\Lambda}{2} \geq -\frac{C}{2s(2s-1)B}$ ($\cos \frac{\Lambda}{2} \leq \frac{C}{2s(2s-1)B}$), then the operator \tilde{H}'_2 has only one BS φ with the energy value $z < m_\Lambda$.

7. Let $P \in Q_7 \cup Q_8$ and $\Lambda \neq 0$.

Then the operator \tilde{H}'_2 has two BS's, φ_1 and φ_2 , with the energy values $z_1 < m_\Lambda$, and $z_2 > M_\Lambda$.

In the case where $\nu = 1$ and $\Lambda = 0$, the change of the energy spectrum is described by the following theorems.

Theorem 6. Let $\Lambda = 0$. a) If $P \in Q_1$, $C > 2s(2s-1)B$, then the operator \tilde{H}'_2 has two BS's, φ_1 and φ_2 , with the energy values $z_1 < m_\Lambda$, and $z_2 > M_\Lambda$.

b) If $P \in Q_1$, $C \leq 2s(2s-1)B$, then the operator \tilde{H}'_2 has only one BS φ with the energy value $z < m_\Lambda$.

2.a) If $P \in Q_2$, $2sA < C < 2s(2s-1)B$, then the operator \tilde{H}'_2 has three BS's, φ_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$; with the energy values $z_j < m_\Lambda$, $j = 1, 2$; and $z_3 > M_\Lambda$.

b) If $P \in Q_2$, $C \leq 2sA$, $C < 2s(2s-1)B$ or $P \in Q_2$, $2sA < 2s(2s-1)B < C$, then the operator \tilde{H}'_2 has two BS's, φ_i , $i = 1, 2$ with the energy values $z_1 < m_\Lambda$ and $z_2 > M_\Lambda$.

c) If $P \in Q_2$, $C = 2s(2s-1)B > 2sA$, then the operator \tilde{H}'_2 has only one BS φ with the energy value $z < m_\Lambda$.

d) If $P \in Q_2$, $C = 2sA \geq 2s(2s-1)B$ or $P \in Q_2$, $2s(2s-1)B < 2sA < C$, then the operator \tilde{H}'_2 has only one BS φ with the energy value $z > M_\Lambda$.

e) If $P \in Q_2$, $C = 2s(2s-1)B < 2sA$ or $P \in Q_2$, $2s(2s-1)B < 2sA < C$, then the operator \tilde{H}'_2 has no BS.

3.a) If $P \in Q_3$, $C < -2s(2s-1)B$, $A \geq (2s-1)B$, then the operator \tilde{H}'_2 has two BS's, φ_i , $i = 1, 2$, with the energy values $z_1 < m_\Lambda$ and $z_2 > M_\Lambda$.

b) If $P \in Q_3$, $A < (2s-1)B$, then the operator \tilde{H}'_2 has only one BS φ with the energy value $z > M_\Lambda$.

c) If $P \in Q_3$, $C \geq -2s(2s-1)B$, $A \geq (2s-1)B$, then the operator \tilde{H}'_2 has only one BS φ with the energy value $z < m_\Lambda$.

4.a) If $P \in Q_4$, $C > -2s(2s-1)B$, then the operator \tilde{H}'_2 has two BS's, φ_1 and φ_2 , with the energy values $z_i < m_\Lambda$, $i = 1, 2$.

b) If $P \in Q_4$, $C < -2s(2s-1)B$, then the operator \tilde{H}'_2 has only one BS φ with the energy value $z < m_\Lambda$.

c) If $P \in Q_4$, $C = -2s(2s-1)B$, then the operator \tilde{H}'_2 has no BS.

5.a) If $P \in Q_5$, $-2s(2s-1)B < C < 2sA$, $C > sA - s(2s-1)B$, then the operator \tilde{H}'_2 has two BS's, φ_1 and φ_2 , with the energy values $z_i < m_\Lambda$, $i = 1, 2$.

b) If $P \in Q_5$, $-2s(2s-1)B < C < 2sA$, $C \leq sA - s(2s-1)B$ or $P \in Q_5$, $C = -2s(2s-1)B < 2sA$, then the operator \tilde{H}'_2 has no BS.

c) If $P \in Q_5$, $C = -2s(2s-1)B \geq 2sA$ or $P \in Q_5$, $C < -2s(2s-1)B$, then the operator \tilde{H}'_2 has only one BS φ with the energy value $z < m_\Lambda$.

6.a) If $P \in Q_6$, $2sA \leq C < -2s(2s-1)B$, then the operator \tilde{H}'_2 has two BS's, φ_1 and φ_2 , with the energy values $z_i > M_\Lambda$, $i = 1, 2$.

b) If $P \in Q_6$, $C = 2sA > -2s(2s-1)B$ or $P \in Q_6$, $C < -2s(2s-1)B$, $C < 2sA$, then the operator \tilde{H}'_2 has no BS.

c) If $P \in Q_6$, $C = -2s(2s-1)B < 2sA$ or $P \in Q_6$, $C > -2s(2s-1)B$, $C \neq 2sA$, then the operator \tilde{H}'_2 has only one BS φ with the energy value $z > M_\Lambda$.

7. If $P \in Q_7$ ($P \in Q_8$), then the operator \tilde{H}'_2 has only one BS φ with the energy value $z > M_\Lambda$ ($z < m_\Lambda$).

A sketch of the proofs of Theorems 5, 6 is given below. In the case under consideration, the equation for eigenvalues is an integral equation with a degenerate kernel. It is therefore equivalent to a system of the linear homogeneous algebraic equations. The system is known to have a nontrivial solution if and only if its determinant is equal to zero. In this case, the equation $\Delta'_\Lambda(z) = 0$ is therefore equivalent to the equation stating that the determinant of the system is zero. In the case where $\nu = 1$, the determinant has the form

$$\Delta'_\Lambda(z) = \det D,$$

where

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} d_{1,1} & d_{1,2} \\ d_{2,1} & d_{2,2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here

$$d_{1,1} = 1 - 4s(2s-1)B \int_T \frac{g_\Lambda(s)ds}{h_\Lambda(s) - z}, \quad d_{1,2} = -4C \int_T \frac{f_\Lambda(s)ds}{h_\Lambda(s) - z},$$

$$d_{2,1} = -4s(2s-1) \int_T \frac{\eta_\Lambda(s)g_\Lambda(s)ds}{h_\Lambda(s) - z}, \quad d_{2,2} = 1 - 4C \int_T \frac{\eta_\Lambda(s)f_\Lambda(s)ds}{h_\Lambda(s) - z},$$

$$g_\Lambda(s) = 1 + \cos \Lambda - 2 \cos \frac{\Lambda}{2} \cos\left(\frac{\Lambda}{2} - s\right), \quad f_\Lambda(s) = \cos\left(\frac{\Lambda}{2} - s\right) - \cos \frac{\Lambda}{2},$$

$$\eta_\Lambda(s) = \cos\left(\frac{\Lambda}{2} - s\right).$$

Expressing all integrals in the equation $\Delta'_\Lambda(z) = 0$ via the integral

$$J^*(z) = \int_T \frac{dt}{h_\Lambda(t) - z},$$

we can see that the equation $\Delta_\Lambda^1(z) = 0$ is equivalent to the equation

$$\{C(z - 8sA)^2 + 8sA[2s(2s - 1)B + C]\cos^2\frac{\Lambda}{2}(z - 8sA) + 128s^3(2s - 1)A^2B\cos^4\frac{\Lambda}{2}\} \\ \times J^*(z) = -C(z - 8sA) + 8sA[2sA - C - 2s(2s - 1)B]\cos^2\frac{\Lambda}{2}. \quad (20)$$

Because $\frac{1}{h_\Lambda(t) - z}$ is a continuous function for $z \notin [m_\Lambda; M_\Lambda]$ and

$$[J^*(z)]' = \int_T \frac{1}{[h_\Lambda(t) - z]^2} > 0,$$

the function $J^*(z)$ is an increasing function of z for $z \notin [m_\Lambda; M_\Lambda]$. Moreover, $J^*(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow -\infty$, $J^*(z) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $z \rightarrow m_\Lambda - 0$, $J^*(z) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $z \rightarrow M_\Lambda + 0$, and $J^*(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow +\infty$. Analyzing equation (20) outside the set $G_\Lambda = [m_\Lambda; M_\Lambda]$, we get the proof of Theorems 5, 6.

The energy spectrum of the operator \tilde{H}'_2 in the case where $\nu = 2$ for the total quasi-momentum of the form $\Lambda = (\Lambda_1; \Lambda_2) = (\Lambda_0; \Lambda_0)$ is described below. It is easy to see that if the parameters $J_n, n = \overline{1, 2s}$ and Λ_0 satisfy the conditions of Theorems 5, 6, then the statements of the theorems are true. Only one additional BS $\tilde{\varphi}$ appears, whose energy value is \tilde{z} , because $\tilde{z} < m_\Lambda$ ($\tilde{z} > M_\Lambda$) if $C > 0$ ($C < 0$). If $C = 0$, the operator \tilde{H}'_2 does not have an additional BS.

The proof of this statement is based on the fact that if $\nu = 2$ and $\Lambda = (\Lambda_0; \Lambda_0)$, then the function $\Delta_\Lambda^\nu(z)$ has the form

$$\Delta_\Lambda^\nu(z) = [1 - 2C \int_{T^2} \frac{[\cos(\frac{\Lambda_0}{2} - t_1) - \cos(\frac{\Lambda_0}{2} - t_2)]^2 dt_1 dt_2}{h_\Lambda(t_1; t_2) - z}] \Psi_\Lambda(z), \quad (21)$$

where

$$\Psi_\Lambda(z) = \{1 - 4s(2s - 1)B \int_{T^2} \frac{g_\Lambda(t)}{h_\Lambda(t_1; t_2) - z} dt_1 dt_2\} [1 - 4C \\ \times \int_{T^2} \frac{f_\Lambda(t_1)\eta_\Lambda(t_1; t_2)}{h_\Lambda(t_1; t_2) - z} dt_1 dt_2] - 32s(2s - 1)BC \int_{T^2} \frac{\xi_\Lambda(t_1)}{h_\Lambda(t_1; t_2) - z} dt_1 dt_2 \\ \times \int_{T^2} \frac{f_\Lambda(t_1)g_\Lambda(t)}{h_\Lambda(t_1; t_2) - z} dt_1 dt_2, t \in T^2, \Lambda \in T^\nu.$$

Here $g_\Lambda(t) = 2 + 2\cos\Lambda_0 - 2\cos\frac{\Lambda_0}{2}[\cos(\frac{\Lambda_0}{2} - t_1) + \cos(\frac{\Lambda_0}{2} - t_2)]$, $f_\Lambda(t_1) = \cos(\frac{\Lambda_0}{2} - t_1)$, $\eta_\Lambda(t_1; t_2) = \cos(\frac{\Lambda_0}{2} - t_1) + \cos(\frac{\Lambda_0}{2} - t_2) - 2\cos\frac{\Lambda_0}{2}$, $\xi_\Lambda(t_1) = \cos(\frac{\Lambda_0}{2} - t_1) - \cos\frac{\Lambda_0}{2}$.

Therefore the equation $\Delta_\Lambda^\nu(z) = 0$ holds if either the equation

$$1 - 2C \int_{T^2} \frac{[\cos(\frac{\Lambda_0}{2} - t_1) - \cos(\frac{\Lambda_0}{2} - t_2)]^2 dt_1 dt_2}{h_\Lambda(t_1; t_2) - z} = 0 \quad (22)$$

or

$$\Psi_\Lambda(z) = 0 \quad (23)$$

holds.

It is easy to see that equation (22) has the unique solution $\tilde{z} < m_\Lambda$ if $C > 0$; if $C < 0$, then this solution satisfies the condition $\tilde{z} > M_\Lambda$. If $C = 0$, equation (22) has no solution. Expressing the integrals in (23) via the integral

$$J^*(z) = \int_{T^2} \frac{dt_1 dt_2}{h_\Lambda(t_1; t_2) - z},$$

we obtain

$$\eta_\Lambda(z) J^*(z) = \xi_\Lambda(z),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_\Lambda(z) &= C(z - 16sA)^2 + 16sA[2s(2s - 1)B + C] \\ &\times \cos^2 \frac{\Lambda_0}{2} (z - 16sA) + 512s^3(2s - 1)A^2B \cos^4 \frac{\Lambda_0}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\xi_\Lambda(z) = -C(z - 16sA) + 16sA[2sA - C - 2s(2s - 1)B] \cos^2 \frac{\Lambda_0}{2}.$$

In its turn, for $\eta_\Lambda(z) \neq 0$, the above last equation is equivalent to the equation

$$J^*(z) = \frac{\xi_\Lambda(z)}{\eta_\Lambda(z)}. \quad (24)$$

Analyzing equation (24) outside the set G_Λ and taking into account that the function $J^*(z)$ is monotonic for $z \notin [m_\Lambda; M_\Lambda]$, we obtain the statements similar to those of Theorems 5, 6.

For all other quasi-momenta, $\Lambda = (\Lambda_1; \Lambda_2), \Lambda_1 \neq \Lambda_2$, there exist the sets $G_j, j = \overline{0, 5}$, of the parameters $J_n, n = \overline{1, 2s}$ and Λ such that in every set G_j the operator \tilde{H}'_2 has exactly j BS's (taking the multiplicity of energy levels into account) with the corresponding energy values $z_k, k = \overline{1, 5}$, and $z_k \notin G_\Lambda$.

Indeed, in this case, for $\nu = 2$, the function $\Delta_\Lambda^\nu(z)$ has the form

$$\Delta_\Lambda^\nu(z) = \det D,$$

where

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} d_{1,1} & d_{1,2} & d_{1,3} \\ d_{2,1} & d_{2,2} & d_{2,3} \\ d_{3,1} & d_{3,2} & d_{3,3} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here

$$d_{1,1} = 1 - 4s(2s-1)B \int_{T^2} \frac{g_\Lambda(s) ds_1 ds_2}{h_\Lambda(s) - z}, \quad d_{1,k+1} = -4C \int_{T^2} \frac{f_{\Lambda_k}(s_k)}{h_\Lambda(s) - z} ds_1 ds_2, \quad k = 1, 2,$$

$$d_{k+1,1} = -4s(2s-1)B \int_{T^2} \frac{\zeta_{\Lambda_k}(s_k) g_\Lambda(s) ds_1 ds_2}{h_\Lambda(s) - z}, \quad k = 1, 2,$$

$$d_{k+1,k+1} = 1 - 4C \int_{T^2} \frac{\zeta_{\Lambda_k}(s_k) f_{\Lambda_k}(s_k) ds_1 ds_2}{h_\Lambda(s) - z}, \quad k = 1, 2,$$

$$d_{k+1,j+1} = -4C \int_{T^2} \frac{\zeta_{\Lambda_k}(s_k) f_{\Lambda_j}(s_j) ds_1 ds_2}{h_\Lambda(s) - z}, \quad k = 1, 2, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad k \neq j.$$

In these formulas

$$g_\Lambda(s) = \sum_{k=1}^2 [1 + \cos \Lambda_k - 2 \cos \frac{\Lambda_k}{2} \cos(\frac{\Lambda_k}{2} - s_k)],$$

$$f_{\Lambda_k}(s_k) = \cos(\frac{\Lambda_k}{2} - s_k) - \cos \frac{\Lambda_k}{2}, \quad k = 1, 2,$$

$$\zeta_{\Lambda_k}(s_k) = \cos(\frac{\Lambda_k}{2} - s_k), \quad k = 1, 2.$$

Expressing all integrals in the equation $\Delta'_\Lambda(z) = 0$ via $J^*(z)$ and performing some algebraic transformations, we can reduce it to the form

$$\theta_\Lambda(z) J^*(z) = \chi_\Lambda(z), \tag{25}$$

where $\theta_\Lambda(z)$ is the fifth-order polynomial in z , and $\chi_\Lambda(z)$ is the lower-order polynomial in z . Analyzing equation (25) outside the set G_Λ and taking into account that the function $J^*(z)$ with $z \notin [m_\Lambda; M_\Lambda]$ is monotonic, we can easily verify that the equation has no more than five solutions outside the set G_Λ .

For an arbitrary $\nu \geq 3$ and $\Lambda = (\Lambda_1; \Lambda_2; \dots; \Lambda_\nu) = (\Lambda_0; \Lambda_0; \Lambda_0; \dots; \Lambda_0) \in T^\nu$, the change of the energy spectrum of the operator \widetilde{H}'_2 is similar to that observed in the case of $\nu = 1$. In this case, if the parameters J_1, J_2, \dots, J_{2s} and Λ_0 satisfy the conditions of Theorems 5, 6, then there exist the statements of these theorems that are true. In this situation, the operator \widetilde{H}'_2 with $C \neq 0$ has only one

additional BS with the energy z . Moreover, the energy level of this additional BS z degenerates $\nu - 1$ times, and $z < m_\Lambda$ ($z > M_\Lambda$) if $C > 0$ ($C < 0$). For all other values of the total quasi-momentum Λ , the operator \tilde{H}'_2 has at most $2\nu + 1$ BS's (taking the multiplicity of the energy levels into account) with the energy values lying outside the set G_Λ .

The proof of these statements is based on finding zeros of the function $\Delta_\Lambda^\nu(z)$. Expressing all integrals in $\Delta_\Lambda^\nu(z)$ via $J^*(z)$, we can bring the equation $\Delta_\Lambda^\nu(z) = 0$ to the form

$$J^*(z) = \frac{\mathcal{C}_\Lambda(z)}{\mathcal{D}_\Lambda(z)}, \tag{26}$$

where $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda(z)$ is the $(2\nu + 1)$ th-order polynomial in z , and $\mathcal{C}_\Lambda(z)$ is also a polynomial in z whose order (with respect to $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda(z)$) is lower. The analyzing of equation (26) outside the set G_Λ leads to the proof of the above statements.

Theorem 7. *Let $A = 0$ and ν be arbitrary. Then the operator \tilde{H}'_2 has two BS's, φ_1 and φ_2 , (not taking the multiplicity of energy levels into account) with the energy values $z_1 = -2C - 8s(2s - 1)B \sum_{i=1}^\nu \cos^2 \frac{\Lambda_i}{2}$ and $z_2 = -2C$. Moreover, z_1 is not degenerate, while z_2 is degenerative $\nu - 1$ times, and $z_i \notin G_\Lambda$, $i = 1, 2$, for all $\Lambda \in T^\nu$, i.e., the energy values of these BS's lie outside the continuous spectrum domain of the operator $\tilde{H}'_{2\Lambda}$. When $B = 0$, this BS's vanishes because it is incorporated into the continuous spectrum.*

P r o o f. If $A = 0$, then $h_\Lambda(s) \equiv 0$, and

$$\Delta_\Lambda^\nu(z) = \left(1 + \frac{2C}{z}\right)^{\nu-1} \left\{ \left[1 + \frac{8s(2s-1)B \sum_{k=1}^\nu \cos^2 \frac{\Lambda_k}{2}}{z}\right] \left(1 + \frac{2C}{z}\right) - \frac{16s(2s-1)BC \sum_{k=1}^\nu \cos^2 \frac{\Lambda_k}{2}}{z^2} \right\}.$$

Solving the equation $\Delta_\Lambda^\nu(z) = 0$, we prove the theorem.

Note. *In the theorem, the zero-order degeneracy corresponds to the case where there is no BS.*

Let $\tilde{\pi} = (\pi; \pi; \dots; \pi) \in T^\nu$.

Theorem 8. *Let $\Lambda = \tilde{\pi}$, $\tilde{\pi} \in T^\nu$ and $C \neq 0$. Then the operator \tilde{H}'_2 has only one BS φ with the energy value $z = 8sA\nu - 2C$, and this energy level is of multiplicity ν . In addition, if $C > 0$, then $z < m_\Lambda$, and if $C < 0$, then $z > M_\Lambda$. When $C = 0$, this BS vanishes because it is absorbed by the continuous spectrum.*

The proof is based on the equality $h_\Lambda(x) = 8sA\nu$ with $\Lambda = \tilde{\pi}$ and also on the corresponding form of the function $\Delta_\Lambda^\nu(z) = \left(1 - \frac{2C}{8sA\nu - z}\right)^\nu$ with $\Lambda = \tilde{\pi}$.

Theorem 9. *Let $C = 0$, and ν be an arbitrary number. Then the operator \tilde{H}'_2 has at most one BS, the corresponding energy level is of multiplicity one, and $z \notin G_\Lambda$.*

P r o o f. If $C = 0$, the relations

$$h_{1\Lambda}(x; t) = -4s(2s - 1)B \sum_{k=1}^{\nu} [1 + \cos \Lambda_k - 2 \cos \frac{\Lambda_k}{2} \cos(\frac{\Lambda_k}{2} - x_k)],$$

$$\Delta_{\Lambda}^{\nu}(z) = 1 - 4s(2s - 1)B \int_{T^{\nu}} \frac{g_{\Lambda}(s)ds}{h_{\Lambda}(s) - z},$$

where

$$g_{\Lambda}(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{\nu} [1 + \cos \Lambda_k - 2 \cos \frac{\Lambda_k}{2} \cos(\frac{\Lambda_k}{2} - s_k)], \quad \Lambda \in T^{\nu}, \quad s \in T^{\nu}, \quad ds = ds_1 ds_2 \dots ds_{\nu},$$

hold. Using the form of the determinant $\Delta_{\Lambda}^{\nu}(z)$ and solving the corresponding equation, we get the proof of Theorem 9.

Besides, the qualitative pictures of the change of the energy spectrum of operator \tilde{H}'_2 in the cases for $s = 1/2$ and $s > 1/2$ are shown to be different. We also show that the energy spectrum of the system is the same either for integer and half-integer values of s or for odd and even values of s .

3. Structure of Essential Spectrum of Three-Particle System

We first determine the structure of the essential spectrum of a three-particle system consisting of two magnons and an impurity spin, and then estimate the number of three-particle BS's in the system. Comparing formulas (2) and (7) and using the tensor products of the Hilbert spaces and the tensor products of the operators in Hilbert spaces [6], we can verify that the operator \tilde{H}_2 can be represented in the form $\tilde{H}_2 = \tilde{H}_1 \otimes E + E \otimes \tilde{H}_1 + K_1 + K_2$, where E is the unit operator in $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_1$, and K_1 and K_2 are the integral operators

$$(K_1 f)(x; y) = \int_{T^{\nu}} h_1(x; y; t) f(t; x + y - t) dt,$$

$$(K_2 f)(x; y) = \int_{T^{\nu}} \int_{T^{\nu}} h_4(x; y; s; t) f(s; t) ds dt.$$

The kernels of these operators have the forms

$$h_1(x; y; t) = -4s(2s - 1)B \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \left\{ 1 + \cos(x_k + y_k) - 2 \cos \frac{x_k + y_k}{2} \cos \frac{x_k - y_k}{2} \right\} \\ - 4C \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \left\{ \cos \frac{x_k - y_k}{2} - \cos \frac{x_k + y_k}{2} \right\} \cos\left(\frac{x_k + y_k}{2} - t_k\right), \quad x, y, t \in T^{\nu},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 h_4(x; y; s; t) = & F \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} [1 + \cos(x_i + y_i - s_i - t_i) + \cos(s_i + t_i) + \cos(x_i + y_i) \\
 & - \cos(x_i - s_i - t_i) - \cos(y_i - s_i - t_i) - \cos x_i - \cos y_i] + Q \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} [\cos(x_i - t_i) + \cos(y_i - s_i)] \\
 & + M \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} [\cos(x_i - s_i) + \cos(y_i - t_i)] + N \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} [\cos s_i + \cos t_i + \cos(x_i + y_i - s_i) \\
 & + \cos(x_i + y_i - t_i)],
 \end{aligned}$$

here $B = J_2 - (6s - 1)J_3 + (28s^2 - 10s + 1)J_4 - (120s^3 - 68s^2 + 14s - 1)J_5 + \dots$,
 $C = J_1 + (4s^2 - 6s + 1)J_2 - (24s^3 - 32s^2 + 10s - 1)J_3 + (112s^4 - 160s^3 + 72s^2 - 14s + 1)J_4 - (480s^5 - 768s^4 + 448s^3 - 128s^2 + 18s - 1)J_5 + \dots$,
 $F = (2s - 4s^2)(J_2^0 - J_2) + (2s - 16s^2 + 24s^3)(J_3^0 - J_3) + \dots + \dots$,
 $Q = (-4s^2 + 2s)(J_2^0 - J_2) + (-4s + 20s^2 - 24s^3)(J_3^0 - J_3) + \dots + \dots$,
 $M = 2[(J_1^0 - J_1) - (1 + 5s + 2s^2)(J_2^0 - J_2) + (1 - 8s + 22s^2 - 12s^3)(J_3^0 - J_3) + \dots + \dots]$,
 $N = -(J_1^0 - J_1) + (1 - 6s + 4s^2)(J_2^0 - J_2) - (1 - 10s + 32s^2 - 24s^3)(J_3^0 - J_3) + \dots + \dots$

As we have already mentioned, for the fixed total quasi-momentum $x + y = \Lambda$ of the two-magnon subsystem, the operator H'_2 and the space \mathcal{H}_2 can be decomposed into direct integrals $\widetilde{H}'_2 = \bigoplus \int_{T^\nu} \widetilde{H}'_{2\Lambda} d\Lambda$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_2 = \bigoplus \int_{T^\nu} \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2\Lambda} d\Lambda$, such that the operators $K_{1\Lambda}$ become compact after the decomposition.

It can be seen from the expressions for the kernels of K_1 and K_2 that $K_{1\Lambda}$ and K_2 are finite-rank operators, i.e., finite-dimensional operators. Therefore, the essential spectra of \widetilde{H}_2 and $\widetilde{H}_1 \otimes E + E \otimes \widetilde{H}_1$ coincide. A simple verification shows that the spectrum of \widetilde{H}_1 is independent of Λ , i.e., of λ and μ . The spectrum of $A \otimes E + E \otimes B$, where A and B are densely defined bounded linear operators, was studied in [6-8]. In these papers there were also given the explicit formulas expressing $\sigma_{ess}(A \otimes E + E \otimes B)$ and $\sigma_{disc}(A \otimes E + E \otimes B)$ in terms of $\sigma(A)$, $\sigma_{disc}(A)$, $\sigma(B)$, and $\sigma_{disc}(B)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma_{disc}(A \otimes E + E \otimes B) = & \{(\sigma(A) \setminus \sigma_{ess}(A)) + (\sigma(B) \setminus \sigma_{ess}(B))\} \setminus \{(\sigma_{ess}(A) \\
 & + \sigma(B)) \cup (\sigma(A) + \sigma_{ess}(B))\}, \\
 \sigma_{ess}(A \otimes E + E \otimes B) = & (\sigma_{ess}(A) + \sigma(B)) \cup (\sigma(A) + \sigma_{ess}(B)).
 \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $\sigma(A \otimes E + E \otimes B) = \{\lambda + \mu : \lambda \in \sigma(A), \mu \in \sigma(B)\}$.

It can be seen from the results of [1] that the spectrum of \widetilde{H}_1 consists of the continuous spectrum and at most three eigenvalues of multiplicity one, multiplicity $(\nu - 1)$, and multiplicity ν .

First we prove the theorem on the finite-dimensional perturbations of bounded linear operators in Banach spaces.

Theorem 10. *Let A and B be the linear bounded self-adjoint operators with the difference of the self-adjoint operator with finite rank m . Then $\sigma_{ess}(A) = \sigma_{ess}(B)$, and at most m eigenvalues appear (taking into account their degeneration multiplicities).*

P r o o f. Let $C = A - B$. As C is a self-adjoint operator of rank m , the function $C(A - z)^{-1}$ is analytical and it has the value of the operator of rank at most m in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(A)$. It is meromorphic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_{ess}(A)$ with finite-rank residues at points in $\sigma_{disc}(A)$. If $z \notin \sigma(A)$, then $(B - z)^{-1}$ exists if and only if there exists $(1 - C(A - z)^{-1})^{-1}$. We can conclude that in every component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(A)$ the operator $(1 - C(A - z)^{-1})^{-1}$ is somewhere reversible. The components $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(A)$ and $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_{ess}(A)$ coincide because of the discreteness of $\sigma_{disc}(A)$. By the Fredholm meromorphic theorem, the operator $(1 - C(A - z)^{-1})^{-1}$ exists on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_{ess}(A)$ everywhere, but the discrete set D' where it has finite rank residues. Here $D' = \sigma_{disc}(A) \cup D''$, where D'' consists of no more than m points, since the operator $C(A - z)^{-1}$ can have an eigenvalue equal to 1 with multiplicity no more than m . It follows that the operator B can have only a discrete spectrum in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_{ess}(A)$ such that $\sigma_{ess}(B) \subset \sigma_{ess}(A)$.

Every component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_{ess}(B)$ has the points lying neither in $\sigma(A)$ nor in $\sigma(B)$. As C is a self-adjoint operator of rank m , the function $C(B - z)^{-1}$ is analytical and has the values of the operator of rank no more than m in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(B)$. It is meromorphic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_{ess}(B)$ with the finite rank residues at the points of $\sigma_{disc}(B)$. If $z \notin \sigma(B)$, then $(A - z)^{-1}$ exists if and only if there exists $(1 + C(B - z)^{-1})^{-1}$. One can conclude that in every component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(B)$, the operator $(1 + C(B - z)^{-1})^{-1}$ is somewhere reversible. The components $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(B)$ and $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_{ess}(B)$ coincide because of the discreteness $\sigma_{disc}(B)$. By the Fredholm meromorphic theorem, the operator $(1 + C(B - z)^{-1})^{-1}$ exists in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_{ess}(B)$ everywhere except the discrete set D_1 where it has finite-rank residues. Here $D_1 = \sigma_{disc}(B) \cup D_2$, where D_2 consists of at most m points, since the operator $C(B - z)^{-1}$ can have an eigenvalue equal to -1 with the multiplicities at most m . Hence the operator A can have only a discrete spectrum in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_{ess}(B)$ such that $\sigma_{ess}(A) \subset \sigma_{ess}(B)$. Consequently, $\sigma_{ess}(A) = \sigma_{ess}(B)$. And we can conclude that when there are perturbations of self-adjoint operators with rank m , the essential spectrum of the operator exists, and at most m eigenvalues appear (taking into account their degeneration multiplicities).

Notice that the problems on the finite rank perturbations for the compact operators were considered in [9–11].

The theorems below describe the structure of the essential spectrum of $\tilde{H}_1 \otimes E + E \otimes \tilde{H}_1$ and give lower and upper estimations for N , the number

of points of discrete spectrum of the operator \tilde{H}_2 .

Theorem 11. *If $\nu = 1$ and $\omega \in A_1 \cup A_7$, then the essential spectrum of the operator \tilde{H}_2 consists of a single interval $\sigma_{ess}(\tilde{H}_2) = [0; 4p(s)]$ or $\sigma_{ess}(\tilde{H}_2) = [4p(s); 0]$, and the relation $0 \leq N \leq 12$ holds for the number N of three-particle BBs.*

Theorem 12. *If $\nu = 1$ and $\omega \in A_6$ or $\omega \in A_5$, then the essential spectrum of the operator \tilde{H}_2 consists of the union of two intervals, $\sigma_{ess}(\tilde{H}_2) = [0; 4p(s)] \cup [z_1; z_1 + 2p(s)]$ or $\sigma_{ess}(\tilde{H}_2) = [4p(s); 0] \cup [z_1; z_1 + 2p(s)]$, and the relation $1 \leq N \leq 13$ holds for the number N of the three-particle operator.*

Theorem 13. *If $\nu = 1$ and $\omega \in A_2 \cup A_3$ or $\omega \in A_4 \cup A_8$, then the essential spectrum of the operator \tilde{H}_2 consists of the union of three intervals, $\sigma_{ess}(\tilde{H}_2) = [0; 4p(s)] \cup [z_1; z_1 + 2p(s)] \cup [z_2; z_2 + 2p(s)]$, or $\sigma_{ess}(\tilde{H}_2) = [4p(s); 0] \cup [z_1; z_1 + 2p(s)] \cup [z_2; z_2 + 2p(s)]$, and the relation $3 \leq N \leq 15$ holds for the number N of the three-particle operator.*

Theorem 14. *If $\nu = 2$ and $\omega \in B_1 \cup B_2$, then the essential spectrum of the operator \tilde{H}_2 consists of a single interval $\sigma_{ess}(\tilde{H}_2) = [0; 8p(s)]$, or $\sigma_{ess}(\tilde{H}_2) = [8p(s); 0]$, and the relation $0 \leq N \leq 22$ holds for the number N of the three-particle operator.*

Theorem 15. *If $\nu = 2$ and $\omega \in B_3 \cup B_4$ or $\omega \in B_5 \cup B_6$, then the essential spectrum of the operator \tilde{H}_2 consists of the union of two intervals, $\sigma_{ess}(\tilde{H}_2) = [0; 8p(s)] \cup [z_1; z_1 + 4p(s)]$, or $\sigma_{ess}(\tilde{H}_2) = [8p(s); 0] \cup [z_1; z_1 + 4p(s)]$, and the relation $1 \leq N \leq 23$ holds for the number N of the three-particle operator.*

Theorem 16. *If $\nu = 2$ and $\omega \in B_7 \cup B_8$ or $\omega \in B_9 \cup B_{10}$, then the essential spectrum of the operator \tilde{H}_2 consists of the union of three intervals, $\sigma_{ess}(\tilde{H}_2) = [0; 8p(s)] \cup [z_1; z_1 + 4p(s)] \cup [z_2; z_2 + 4p(s)]$, or $\sigma_{ess}(\tilde{H}_2) = [8p(s); 0] \cup [z_1; z_1 + 4p(s)] \cup [z_2; z_2 + 4p(s)]$, and the relation $3 \leq N \leq 25$ holds for the number N of the three-particle operator.*

Theorem 17. *If $\nu = 2$ and $\omega \in B_{11} \cup B_{12}$ or $\omega \in B_{13} \cup B_{14}$, then the essential spectrum of the operator \tilde{H}_2 consists of the union of four intervals, $\sigma_{ess}(\tilde{H}_2) = [0; 8p(s)] \cup [z_1; z_1 + 4p(s)] \cup [z_2; z_2 + 4p(s)] \cup [z_3; z_3 + 4p(s)]$, or $\sigma_{ess}(\tilde{H}_2) = [8p(s); 0] \cup [z_1; z_1 + 4p(s)] \cup [z_2; z_2 + 4p(s)] \cup [z_3; z_3 + 4p(s)]$, and the relation $6 \leq N \leq 28$ holds for the number N of the three-particle operator.*

Theorem 18. *If $\nu = 3$ and $\omega \in Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup Q_3 \cup Q_4$, then the essential spectrum of the operator \tilde{H}_2 consists of a single interval $\sigma_{ess}(\tilde{H}_2) = [0; 12p(s)]$ or $\sigma_{ess}(\tilde{H}_2) = [12p(s); 0]$, and the relation $0 \leq N \leq 32$ holds for the number N of three-particle BBs.*

Theorem 19. *If $\nu = 3$ and $\omega \in Q_5 \cup Q_6$ or $\omega \in Q_7 \cup Q_8$, then the essential spectrum of the operator \tilde{H}_2 consists of the union of two intervals, $\sigma_{ess}(\tilde{H}_2) =$*

$[0; 12p(s)] \cup [z_1; z_1 + 6p(s)]$, or $\sigma_{ess}(\tilde{H}_2) = [12p(s); 0] \cup [z_1; z_1 + 6p(s)]$, and the relation $1 \leq N \leq 33$ holds for the number N of the three-particle operator.

Theorem 20. *If $\nu = 3$ and $\omega \in Q_9 \cup Q_{10}$ or $\omega \in Q_{11} \cup Q_{12}$, then the essential spectrum of the operator \tilde{H}_2 consists of the union of three intervals, $\sigma_{ess}(\tilde{H}_2) = [0; 12p(s)] \cup [z_1; z_1 + 6p(s)] \cup [z_2; z_2 + 6p(s)]$, or $\sigma_{ess}(\tilde{H}_2) = [12p(s); 0] \cup [z_1; z_1 + 6p(s)] \cup [z_2; z_2 + 6p(s)]$, and the relation $3 \leq N \leq 35$ holds for the number N of the three-particle operator.*

Theorem 21. *If $\nu = 3$ and $\omega \in Q_{13} \cup Q_{14}$ or $\omega \in Q_{15} \cup Q_{16}$, then the essential spectrum of the operator \tilde{H}_2 consists of the union of four intervals, $\sigma_{ess}(\tilde{H}_2) = [0; 12p(s)] \cup [z_1; z_1 + 6p(s)] \cup [z_2; z_2 + 6p(s)] \cup [z_3; z_3 + 6p(s)]$, or $\sigma_{ess}(\tilde{H}_2) = [12p(s); 0] \cup [z_1; z_1 + 6p(s)] \cup [z_2; z_2 + 6p(s)] \cup [z_3; z_3 + 6p(s)]$, and the relation $6 \leq N \leq 38$ holds for the number N of the three-particle operator.*

P r o o f. The proofs of Theorems 11-21 are similar. Therefore we prove one of the theorems. As an example, we prove Theorem 21. From Theorem 3 (in statement (iv)) from [1], it is seen that for $\omega \in Q_{13} \cup Q_{14}$ ($\omega \in Q_{15} \cup Q_{16}$) the operator \tilde{H}_1 has exactly three LIS's, φ_1, φ_2 and φ_3 , with the energies z_1, z_2 and z_3 (z_4, z_5 and z_6) satisfying the inequalities $z_i < m_3, i = 1, 2, 3$ ($z_j > M_3, j = 4, 5, 6$). Moreover, the level z_1 (z_4) is of multiplicity one, the level z_2 (z_5) is of multiplicity two and the level z_3 (z_6) is of multiplicity three.

The continuous spectrum of the operator \tilde{H}_1 consists of the interval $[0; 6p(s)]$ or $[6p(s); 0]$. Therefore, the essential spectrum of the operator \tilde{H}_2 consists of a set $[0; 6p(s)] + \{[0; 6p(s)], z_1, z_2, z_3\}$, i.e., $\sigma_{ess}(\tilde{H}_2) = [0; 12p(s)] \cup [z_1; z_1 + 6p(s)] \cup [z_2; z_2 + 6p(s)] \cup [z_3; z_3 + 6p(s)]$. The numbers $2z_1, 2z_2, 2z_3, z_1 + z_2, z_1 + z_3, z_2 + z_3$ are the eigenvalues of the operator $\tilde{H}_1 \otimes E + E \otimes \tilde{H}_1$ and are outside the domain of the essential spectrum of $\tilde{H}_1 \otimes E + E \otimes \tilde{H}_1$. It is clear that the multiplicity of their eigenvalues is at most $3 \times 3 = 9$. Consequently, these six eigenvalues of the operator $\tilde{H}_1 \otimes E + E \otimes \tilde{H}_1$ belong to the discrete spectrum of the considering three-particle operator.

Then, the operator $K_{1\Lambda}$ in the three-dimensional case is the seven-rank operator, while the rank of the operator K_2 is equal to 25. Consequently, as follows from Theorem 10, the number N of the points of discrete spectrum of the three-particle operator is not less than 6 and not more than $6 + 7 + 25 = 38$.

Theorem 22. *Let ν be an arbitrary number, $p(s) \equiv 0$, and $J_n \neq 0, n = 1, 2, \dots, 2s$. Then the essential spectrum of the operator \tilde{H}_2 consists of three points, $\sigma_{ess}(\tilde{H}_2) = \{0; \frac{q(s)}{2}; \frac{2\nu+1}{2}q(s)\}$, and the relation $3 \leq N \leq 10\nu + 5$ holds for the number N of the points of discrete spectrum of the three-particle operator.*

P r o o f. When ν is an arbitrary number, $p(s) \equiv 0$, and $J_n \neq 0, n = 1, 2, \dots, 2s$, by Theorem 4 from [1], the operator \tilde{H}_1 has two eigenvalues equal to $z_1 = \frac{q(s)}{2}$ and $z_2 = \frac{2\nu+1}{2}q(s)$, where z_1 is of multiplicity $(2\nu - 1)$, while z_2 is of

multiplicity one. The essential (continuous) spectrum of the operator \tilde{H}_1 consists of a single point 0. Therefore, $\sigma_{ess}(\tilde{H}_2) = \{0; \frac{q(s)}{2}; \frac{2\nu+1}{2}q(s)\}$, and the points $q(s); (2\nu+1)q(s); (\nu+1)q(s)$ are the eigenvalues of the operator $\tilde{H}_1 \otimes E + E \otimes \tilde{H}_1$. Now, taking into account that the operators $K_{1\Lambda}$ and K_2 are of ranks $2\nu + 1$ and $8\nu + 1$, respectively, we immediately obtain the proof of Theorem 22.

It should be noticed that if $h(x; y)$ is an arbitrary 2π -periodic continuous function, $h_2(x; s) = h_3(x; s)$ is an arbitrary degenerated 2π -periodic continuous kernel, and $h_1(x; y; t)$ and $h_4(x; y; s; t)$ are also arbitrary degenerated 2π -periodic continuous kernels, i.e., the operators $K_{1\Lambda}$ and K_2 are arbitrary finite-dimensional operators, then the analogous results are true.

References

- [1] *S.M. Tashpulatov*, One-Magnon Systems in an Isotropic non-Heisenberg Ferromagnetic Impurity Model. — *Theor. Math. Phys.* **142** (2005), No. 1, 83–92.
- [2] *M. Reed and B. Simon*, Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics. V. 1. *Funct. Anal.* Acad. Press, New York, 1977.
- [3] *V.V. Val'kov, S.G. Ovchinnikov, and O.P. Petrakovskii*, Spectra of Two-Magnon System Excitations in the Easy Axis Quasidimensional Ferromagnetics. — *Fiz. Tverd. Tela* **30** (1988), 3044–3047.
- [4] *S.M. Tashpulatov*, Spectra and Bound States of the Energy Operator of Two-Magnon Systems in a Isotropic non-Heisenberg Ferromagnet with Nearest-Neighbour Interactions and Arbitrary Spin Value S . — *Uzbek. Math. J.* (2008), No. 1, 95–111.
- [5] *M.A. Neimark*, Normed Rings. Nauka, Moscow, 1968. (Russian) (English transl.: Wolters-Noordhoff, Groningen, 1970).
- [6] *T. Ichinose*, Spectral Properties of Tensor Products of Linear Operators. 1. — *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **235** (1978), 75–113.
- [7] *T. Ichinose*, Spectral Properties of Tensor Products of Linear Operators. 2: The Approximate Point Spectrum and Kato Essential Spectrum. — *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **237** (1978), 223–254.
- [8] *T. Ichinose*, Tensor Products of Linear Operators. Spectral Theory. — Banach Center Publications. PWN-Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw. **8** (1982), 294–300.
- [9] *H. Hochstadt*, One Dimensional Perturbations of Compact Operators. — *Proc. Amer. Soc.* **37** (1973), 465–467.
- [10] *H. Vasueda*, One Dimensional Perturbations of Compact Operators. — *Proc. Amer. Soc.* **57** (1976), No. 1, 58–60.
- [11] *S.V. Shevchenko*, About Dependence of Spectral Properties of Matrix to Relative of Perturbation with Sufficiently Low Ranks. — *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **38** (2004), No. 1, 85–88.