# Multidimensional Submanifolds with Metric of Revolution in Hyperbolic Space 
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In the paper, the structure of submanifolds of low codimension with induced metric of revolution in a hyperbolic space is considered. The condition on extrinsic properties of such submanifolds to be submanifolds of revolution is found. This paper is a generalization of the result for submanifolds in Euclidean space.
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In Euclidean space $E^{3}$, a 2-dimensional surface of revolution of constant Gaussian curvature admits a standard coordinate system $\left(u^{1}, u^{2}\right)$ such that the metric of this surface is the metric of revolution

$$
d s^{2}=\left(d u^{1}\right)^{2}+\varphi^{2}\left(u^{1}\right)\left(d u^{2}\right)^{2}
$$

On the other hand, from the fact that the induced metric on $F^{2} \subset E^{3}$ is a metric of revolution it does not follow that $F^{2}$ is a surface of revolution. There is a locally isometric embedding $F^{2}$ in $E^{3}$ such that the geodesic line $u^{2}=0$ mapped onto a space curve with torsion is not equal to zero at any point. The following example can be constructed by using the Cauchy-Kowalewski theorem.

Therefore it is interesting to find the condition when a multidimensional submanifold $F^{l}$ with induced metric of revolution in a space of constant curvature $M^{l+p}$ is a submanifold of revolution.
A.A. Borisenko in [4] presented this condition for submanifolds in Euclidean space. Let $F^{l}$ be a submanifold of low codimension in Euclidean space with induced metric of revolution of constant-sign sectional curvature. If the geodesic coordinate lines on $F^{l}$ are the lines of curvature, then $F^{l}$ is a submanifold of revolution.

In paper [11], it was considered a classification of submanifolds in the Euclidean space in terms of the indicatrix of normal curvature up to projective transformation. In [1] it was studied an isometric immersions of the Lobachevsky plane into 4-dimensional Euclidean space with flat normal connection.

In the present paper, we consider an isometric immersion of submanifolds $F^{l}$ of low codimension with induced metric of revolution in hyperbolic space $H^{l+p}$.

[^0]We consider 3 cases, when the extrinsic sectional curvature of $F^{l}$ is negative, zero, and positive.

The similar result is true for submanifolds of low codimension with induced metric of revolution in a spherical space $S^{l+p}$.

## 1. Main definitions

Let $E_{1}^{n}$ be a pseudo Euclidean space of signature $(1, n)$. The scalar product of vectors $X\left(x^{0}, x^{1}, \ldots, x^{n}\right)$ and $Y\left(y^{0}, y^{1}, \ldots, y^{n}\right)$ in $E_{1}^{n}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle X, Y\rangle=-x^{0} y^{0}+x^{1} y^{1}+\cdots+x^{n} y^{n} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider a sheet of a hyperboloid in $E_{1}^{n}$

$$
H^{n}=\left\{X\left(x^{0}, x^{1}, \ldots, x^{n}\right) \mid\langle X, X\rangle=-1, x_{0}>0\right\}
$$

The pseudo Euclidean metric induces the metric of constant negative curvature -1 on $H^{n}$.

Definition 1.1. A multidimensional Riemannian metric on a manifold $F^{l}$ is called a metric of revolution if there exists a regular coordinate system such that this Riemannian metric has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=\left(d u^{1}\right)^{2}+\varphi^{2}\left(u^{1}\right) d \sigma^{2} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi\left(u^{1}\right)>0$ is a regular function, $d \sigma^{2}$ is a Riemannian metric of constant sectional curvature.

Definition 1.2. A submanifold $F^{l}$ in a hyperbolic space $H^{l+p} \subset E_{1}^{l+p}$ is called a submanifold of revolution if the radius vector of $F^{l}$ equals

$$
r\left(u^{1}, \ldots, u^{l}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x^{0}=\chi\left(u^{1}\right)  \tag{1.3}\\
x^{1}=\psi\left(u^{1}\right) \\
x^{2}=\varphi\left(u^{1}\right) \rho^{1}\left(u^{2}, \ldots, u^{l}\right) \\
\ldots \\
x^{l+p}=\varphi\left(u^{1}\right) \rho^{l+p-1}\left(u^{2}, \ldots, u^{l}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\chi^{2}+\psi^{2}+\varphi^{2}=-1 \\
\left(\rho^{1}\right)^{2}+\left(\rho^{2}\right)^{2}+\cdots+\left(\rho^{l+p-1}\right)^{2}=1
\end{gathered}
$$

and $d \sigma^{2}=\left(d \rho^{1}\right)^{2}+\cdots+\left(d \rho^{l+p-1}\right)^{2}$ is a Riemannian metric of constant sectional curvature.

The curve $\gamma\left(u^{1}\right)$ with the radius vector

$$
\gamma\left(u^{1}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x^{0}=\chi\left(u^{1}\right) \\
x^{1}=\psi\left(u^{1}\right) \\
x^{2}=\varphi\left(u^{1}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

lies on the hyperbolic plane $H^{2} \subset E_{1}^{2}$ and $u^{1}$ is the arc-length parameter of $\gamma$.
The submanifold $F^{l}$ is obtained by the rotation of the curve $\gamma\left(u^{1}\right)$ along the submanifold $F^{l-1} \subset S^{l+p-2}$ in $E_{1}^{l+p}$. The radius vector of $F^{l-1}$ is

$$
\rho\left(u^{2}, \ldots, u^{l}\right)=\left(0,0, \rho^{1}\left(u^{2}, \ldots, u^{l}\right), \ldots, \rho^{l+p-1}\left(u^{2}, \ldots, u^{l}\right)\right)
$$

The submanifold $F^{l-1}$ has the intrinsic Riemannian metric $d \sigma^{2}$ of constant sectional curvature.

From (1.3), it is easy to check that the submanifold of revolution $F^{l}$ admits the metric of revolution (1.2).

Consider the reverse problem, when a multidimensional submanifold $F^{l}$ with induced metric of revolution (1.2) of constant-sign sectional curvature is a submanifold of revolution in $H^{l+p}$.

Definition 1.3. A line $\gamma \subset F^{l} \subset E_{1}^{l+p}$ is called a line of curvature of a submanifold $F^{l}$ if for any normal $n$ from the normal space $N F^{l}$ the tangent vector $\gamma^{\prime}$ is a principal direction of the second fundamental form with respect to the normal $n$.

Definition 1.4. A direction $\tau$ from the tangent space $T_{Q} F^{l}$ at a point $Q$ of a submanifold $F^{l}$ in Riemannian manifold $M^{l+p}$ is called asymptotic if $B_{n}(\tau, \tau)=$ 0 for any normal $n \in N_{Q} F^{l}$ at this point, where $B_{n}$ is the second fundamental form relative to the normal $n$.

## 2. Submanifolds of negative extrinsic sectional curvature in hyperbolic space

Lemma 2.1. Let $F^{l}$ be a submanifold in hyperbolic space $H^{2 l-1}$ with induced metric of revolution

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=\left(d u^{1}\right)^{2}+\varphi^{2}\left(u^{1}\right) d \sigma^{2} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi\left(u^{1}\right)>0$ is a regular function, $d \sigma^{2}$ is a Riemannian metric of constant sectional curvature. Let $F^{l}$ have a negative extrinsic sectional curvature. Then

1. If $d \sigma^{2}$ is a flat metric, then $\varphi^{\prime \prime}-\varphi>0,\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\varphi^{2}>0$.
2. If $d \sigma^{2}$ is the metric of unit sphere, then $\varphi^{\prime \prime}-\varphi>0,\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\varphi^{2}>1, \varphi^{\prime}>1$ when $u^{1}>0$, and $\varphi(0)=0, \varphi^{\prime}(0)=1$.
3. If $d \sigma^{2}$ is the metric of hyperbolic space of curvature -1 , then $\varphi^{\prime \prime}-\varphi>0$, $\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\varphi^{2}>-1$.

Proof. 1. Consider the case when $d \sigma^{2}$ is a flat metric. Then the metric of revolution (2.1) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=\left(d u^{1}\right)^{2}+\varphi^{2}\left(u^{1}\right)\left(\left(d u^{2}\right)^{2}+\cdots+\left(d u^{l}\right)^{2}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, the nonzero Christoffel symbols of the metric $d s^{2}$ equal

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{1 i}^{j}=\delta_{i}^{j} \frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi}, \quad \Gamma_{i j}^{1}=-\delta_{i}^{j} \varphi \varphi^{\prime}, \quad i, j=2, \ldots, l \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The intrinsic sectional curvatures of $F^{l}$ along coordinate 2-dimensional planes $\Pi_{i j}$ are equal

$$
K\left(\Pi_{1 j}\right)=-\frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime}}{\varphi}, \quad K\left(\Pi_{i j}\right)=-\frac{\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{\varphi^{2}}, \quad i, j=2, \ldots, l
$$

From the Gauss equation, it follows that the extrinsic and intrinsic section curvatures of the submanifold $F^{l}$ in $H^{2 l-1}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\mathrm{ext}}=K_{\mathrm{int}}+1 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the extrinsic sectional curvatures of $F^{l}$ equal

$$
K_{\mathrm{ext}}\left(\Pi_{1 j}\right)=-\frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime}-\varphi}{\varphi}, \quad K_{\mathrm{ext}}\left(\Pi_{i j}\right)=\frac{-\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\varphi^{2}}{\varphi^{2}}, \quad i, j=2, \ldots, l
$$

Since $F^{l}$ has negative extrinsic sectional curvature, it follows that

$$
\varphi^{\prime \prime}-\varphi>0, \quad\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\varphi^{2}>0
$$

2. The case, when $d \sigma^{2}$ is a metric of constant sectional curvature 1 . The metric (2.1) has the form

$$
d s^{2}=\left(d u^{1}\right)^{2}+\varphi^{2}\left(u^{1}\right) \frac{4\left(\left(d u^{2}\right)^{2}+\cdots+\left(d u^{l}\right)^{2}\right)}{\left(1+\left(u^{2}\right)^{2}+\cdots+\left(u^{l}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

By direct computations, we get

$$
K\left(\Pi_{1 j}\right)=-\frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime}}{\varphi}, \quad K\left(\Pi_{i j}\right)=\frac{1-\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{\varphi^{2}}, \quad i, j=2, \ldots, l
$$

From the Gauss equation, we obtain the extrinsic sectional curvatures of $F^{l}$,

$$
K_{\mathrm{ext}}\left(\Pi_{1 j}\right)=-\frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime}-\varphi}{\varphi}, \quad K_{\mathrm{ext}}\left(\Pi_{i j}\right)=\frac{1-\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\varphi^{2}}{\varphi^{2}}, \quad i, j=2, \ldots, l
$$

By the assumption, the metric of $F^{l}$ is regular. From the singularity of polar coordinate system, it follows that $\varphi(0)=0, \varphi^{\prime}(0)=1$. Since $F^{l}$ has a negative extrinsic curvature, then for $u^{1}>0$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{\prime \prime}-\varphi>0, \quad\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\varphi^{2}>1 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. Consider the case, when $d \sigma^{2}$ is a metric of constant sectional curvature -1 . The metric (2.1) has the form

$$
d s^{2}=\left(d u^{1}\right)^{2}+\varphi^{2}\left(u^{1}\right) \frac{4\left(\left(d u^{2}\right)^{2}+\cdots+\left(d u^{l}\right)^{2}\right)}{\left(1-\left(u^{2}\right)^{2}-\cdots-\left(u^{l}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

Then we get

$$
K\left(\Pi_{1 j}\right)=-\frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime}}{\varphi}, \quad K\left(\Pi_{i j}\right)=\frac{-1-\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{\varphi^{2}}, \quad i, j=2, \ldots, l
$$

The extrinsic sectional curvatures of $F^{l}$ equal

$$
K_{\mathrm{ext}}\left(\Pi_{1 j}\right)=-\frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime}-\varphi}{\varphi}, \quad K_{\mathrm{ext}}\left(\Pi_{i j}\right)=\frac{-1-\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\varphi^{2}}{\varphi^{2}}, \quad i, j=2, \ldots, l
$$

Since $F^{l}$ has a negative extrinsic curvature, it follows that

$$
\varphi^{\prime \prime}-\varphi>0, \quad\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\varphi^{2}>-1
$$

Let $r=r\left(u^{1}, \ldots, u^{l}\right)$ be a radius vector of the submanifold $F^{l}$ in a hyperbolic space $H^{2 l-1} \subset E_{1}^{2 l-1}$. Denote $\partial r / \partial u^{i}$ by $r_{i}$ and denote $\partial^{2} r /\left(\partial u^{i} \partial u^{j}\right)$ by $r_{i j}$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $F^{l}$ be a $C^{3}$-regular submanifold in a hyperbolic space $H^{2 l-1} \subset E_{1}^{2 l-1}$ with the induced metric of revolution of negative extrinsic sectional curvature. If the coordinate lines $u^{1}$ are the lines of curvature of the submanifold $F^{l}$, then the rank of the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{r}=\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\sqrt{\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\varphi^{2}}} r-\frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\varphi^{2}}} r_{1} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is equal to one.
Proof. Let $b_{i j}^{\alpha}$ be the coefficients of the second fundamental forms $F^{l}$ with respect to the orthogonal basis of normals $n^{\alpha}, \alpha=0, \ldots, l-1$. Since $F^{l}$ locates on the hyperbolic space $H^{2 l-1} \subset E_{1}^{2 l-1}$, it follow that $n^{0}=r$. Then

$$
b_{11}^{0}=-\left\langle r_{11}, n^{0}\right\rangle=\left\langle r_{1}, r_{1}\right\rangle=1
$$

Since the coordinate lines $u^{1}$ are the lines of curvature of the submanifold $F^{l}$, it follows that

$$
b_{1 j}^{\alpha}=0, \quad j=2, \ldots, l, \quad \alpha=0, \ldots, l-1 .
$$

Now we calculate the Jacobi matrix of the map (2.6):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{r}_{1}=\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\sqrt{\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\varphi^{2}}}\right)^{\prime} r & +\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\sqrt{\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\varphi^{2}}} r_{1} \\
& -\left(\frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\varphi^{2}}}\right)^{\prime} r_{1}-\frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\varphi^{2}}} r_{11}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\tilde{r}_{j}=\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\sqrt{\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\varphi^{2}}} r_{j}-\frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\varphi^{2}}} r_{1 j}, \quad j=2, \ldots, l
$$

From the Weingarten equations

$$
r_{i j}=\Gamma_{i j}^{k} r_{k}+\sum_{\alpha=0}^{l-1} b_{i j}^{\alpha} n^{\alpha}
$$

for $F^{l} \subset H^{l+1} \subset E_{1}^{l+1}($ see $[7, \S 64])$ and (2.3), we have

$$
r_{11}=r+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{l-1} b_{11}^{\alpha} n^{\alpha}, \quad r_{1 j}=\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi} r_{j}, \quad j=2, \ldots, l .
$$

Hence we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{r}_{1}=\frac{-\varphi^{2}\left(\varphi^{\prime \prime}-\varphi\right)}{\left(\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\varphi^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} r+\frac{\varphi \varphi^{\prime}\left(\varphi^{\prime \prime}-\varphi\right)}{\left(\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\varphi^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} r_{1}-\sum_{\alpha=1}^{l-1} \frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\varphi^{2}}} b_{11}^{\alpha} n^{\alpha} \neq 0 \\
& \tilde{r}_{j}=0, \quad j=2, \ldots, l
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that the rank of the Jacobi matrix of the map (2.6) is equal to 1 and $\tilde{r}$ depends only on the variable $u^{1}$, i.e., $\tilde{r}=\Phi\left(u^{1}\right)$.

Theorem 2.3. Let $F^{l}$ be a $C^{3}$-regular submanifold in a hyperbolic space $H^{2 l-1} \subset E_{1}^{2 l-1}$ with the induced metric of revolution of negative extrinsic sectional curvature

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=\left(d u^{1}\right)^{2}+\varphi^{2}\left(u^{1}\right) d \sigma^{2} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi\left(u^{1}\right)$ is a regular positive function and $d \sigma^{2}$ is a Riemannian metric of constant sectional curvature. If the coordinate lines $u^{1}$ are the lines of curvature of the submanifold $F^{l}$, then $F^{l}$ is a submanifold of revolution.

Proof. 1. $d \sigma^{2}$ is a flat metric. Consider an ordinary differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\sqrt{\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\varphi^{2}}} r-\frac{\varphi}{\sqrt{\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\varphi^{2}}} r_{1}=\Phi\left(u^{1}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with respect to the vector function $r$. The solution of this equation is

$$
r\left(u^{1}, \ldots, u^{l}\right)=-\varphi\left(u^{1}\right) \int_{0}^{u^{1}} \frac{\sqrt{\left(\varphi^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}-\varphi^{2}(t)}}{\varphi^{2}(t)} \Phi(t) d t+\varphi\left(u^{1}\right) C\left(u^{2}, \ldots, u^{l}\right)
$$

Consider the constant $\lambda=\frac{\sqrt{\left(\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{2}-\varphi^{2}(0)}}{\varphi(0) \varphi^{\prime}(0)}$, and rewrite $r$ in the following way:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{r}
r=\varphi\left(u^{1}\right)\left(\lambda \Phi(0)-\int_{0}^{u^{1}} \frac{\sqrt{\left(\varphi^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}-\varphi^{2}(t)}}{\varphi^{2}(t)}\right.
\end{array} \Phi(t) d t\right) .
$$

We set

$$
\begin{gather*}
\psi\left(u^{1}\right)=\varphi\left(u^{1}\right)\left(\lambda \Phi(0)-\int_{0}^{u^{1}} \frac{\sqrt{\left(\varphi^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}-\varphi^{2}(t)}}{\varphi^{2}(t)} \Phi(t) d t\right)  \tag{2.10}\\
\rho\left(u^{2}, \ldots, u^{l}\right)=C\left(u^{2}, \ldots, u^{l}\right)-\lambda \Phi(0)
\end{gather*}
$$

From the choice of constant $\lambda$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi(0) & =\frac{\sqrt{\left(\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{2}-\varphi^{2}(0)}}{\varphi^{\prime}(0)} \Phi(0)  \tag{2.11}\\
\psi^{\prime}(0) & =0 \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

So the radius vector $r$ of the submanifold $F^{l}$ is

$$
r=\psi\left(u^{1}\right)+\varphi\left(u^{1}\right) \rho\left(u^{2}, \ldots, u^{l}\right)
$$

The vectors tangent to the coordinate lines of $F^{l}$ have the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{1}=\psi^{\prime}\left(u^{1}\right)+\varphi^{\prime}\left(u^{1}\right) \rho\left(u^{2}, \ldots, u^{l}\right), \\
& r_{j}=\varphi\left(u^{1}\right) \rho_{j}\left(u^{2}, \ldots, u^{l}\right), \quad j=2, \ldots, l .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $F^{l}$ has the induced metric of revolution (2.2), then

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{11} & =\left\langle\psi^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}\right\rangle+2 \varphi^{\prime}\left\langle\psi^{\prime}, \rho\right\rangle+\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{2}\langle\rho, \rho\rangle=1  \tag{2.13}\\
g_{1 j} & =\varphi\left\langle\psi^{\prime}, \rho_{j}\right\rangle+\varphi \varphi^{\prime}\left\langle\rho, \rho_{j}\right\rangle=0  \tag{2.14}\\
g_{i j} & =\varphi^{2}\left\langle\rho_{i}, \rho_{j}\right\rangle=\varphi^{2} \delta_{i}^{j}, \quad i, j=2, \ldots, l \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Consider equation (2.13) when $u^{1}=0$. Using (2.12), we get that for any $u^{2}, \ldots, u^{l}$,

$$
\langle\rho, \rho\rangle=\frac{1}{\left(\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{2}}
$$

Then the submanifold $F^{l-1}$ with the radius vector $\rho=\rho\left(u^{2}, \ldots, u^{l}\right)$ belongs to a sphere $S_{R}^{2 l-3} \subset E^{2 l-2}$ of radius $R=1 / \varphi^{\prime}(0)$. From (2.15), it follows that $F^{l-1}$ has the flat intrinsic metric.

Let us show that $F^{l-1}$ does not belong to the Euclidean space $E^{2 l-3}$. Assume the converse. Then $F^{l-1}$ is a submanifold on a sphere $S_{R}^{2 l-4} \subset E^{2 l-3}$. From the Gauss equation, the extrinsic curvatures of the $F^{l-1}$ are obtained

$$
K_{e x t}\left(F^{l-1}\right)=-\left(\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{2} .
$$

It is known that if a submanifold $F^{m}$ of a Riemannian space $M^{m+p}$ has a negative extrinsic sectional curvature, then $p \geq m-1$ [5, Theorem 3.2.2]. In our case, $m=l-1, M^{m+p}=S^{2 l-4}$. We get that the codimension of $F^{l-1}$ equals $p=l-$ $3=m-2$. From this contradiction one can conclude that $F^{l-1}$ belongs to the sphere $S_{R}^{2 l-3} \subset E^{2 l-2}$.

Since $\left\langle r, r_{j}\right\rangle=0$, then $\left\langle\psi, \rho_{j}\right\rangle=0$. If $u^{1}=0$, then from (2.11) it follows that

$$
\left\langle\Phi(0), \rho_{j}\right\rangle=0
$$

From (2.14), we get that $\left\langle\psi^{\prime}, \rho_{j}\right\rangle=0$. Differentiating this equation with respect to $u^{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\psi^{\prime \prime}, \rho_{j}\right\rangle=0,\left\langle\psi^{\prime \prime \prime}, \rho_{j}\right\rangle=0,\left\langle\psi^{(4)}, \rho_{j}\right\rangle=0, \ldots \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider (2.16) at the point $u^{1}=0$. From (2.10), we obtain

$$
\psi^{(k)}(0) \in \operatorname{Lin}\left\{\Phi(0), \Phi^{\prime}(0), \Phi^{\prime \prime}(0), \ldots, \Phi^{(k-1)}(0)\right\}
$$

We get that for all $u^{2}, \ldots, u^{l}$ the following is true:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(0), \rho_{j}\right\rangle=0, \quad\left\langle\Phi^{\prime \prime}(0), \rho_{j}\right\rangle=0, \quad\left\langle\Phi^{\prime \prime \prime}(0), \rho_{j}\right\rangle=0, \ldots \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

These equations are true for all $u^{1}$ and we can rewrite (2.17) in the following way:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}\left(u^{1}\right), \rho\right\rangle=c_{0}\left(u^{1}\right), \quad\left\langle\Phi^{\prime \prime}\left(u^{1}\right), \rho\right\rangle=c_{1}\left(u^{1}\right), \quad\left\langle\Phi^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(u^{1}\right), \rho\right\rangle=c_{2}\left(u^{1}\right), \ldots \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.8), it follows that $\left\langle\Phi\left(u^{1}\right), \Phi\left(u^{1}\right)\right\rangle=-1$. Consider the subspace $L$ in $E_{1}^{2 l-1}$ such that

$$
L=\operatorname{Lin}\left\{\Phi^{\prime}\left(u^{1}\right), \Phi^{\prime \prime}\left(u^{1}\right), \Phi^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(u^{1}\right), \ldots\right\}
$$

If $\operatorname{dim} L=3$, then from (2.18) it follows that the submanifold $F^{l-1}$ belongs to the Euclidean space $E^{2 l-3}$. We have proved before that this is impossible. Then $\operatorname{dim} L=2$ for any point on the curve $\Phi\left(u^{1}\right)$. Thus the curve $\Phi\left(u^{1}\right)$ belongs to the plane $E_{1}^{1} \subset E_{1}^{2 l-1}$ and the submanifold $F^{l-1}$ is orthogonal to $\Phi\left(u^{1}\right)$.

Choose the orthogonal coordinate system such that the plane $E_{1}^{1}$ coincides with the plane $x^{0} O x^{1}$, where $O$ is the origin of coordinates. Then $\Phi\left(u^{1}\right)=$ $\left(\mu\left(u^{1}\right), \nu\left(u^{1}\right), 0, \ldots, 0.\right)$. Using (2.9), we obtain the radius vector of the submanifold $F^{l}$,

$$
r=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x^{0}=\chi\left(u^{1}\right) \\
x^{1}=\psi\left(u^{1}\right) \\
x^{2}=\varphi\left(u^{1}\right) \rho^{1}\left(u^{2}, \ldots, u^{l}\right) \\
\ldots \\
x^{l+p}=\varphi\left(u^{1}\right) \rho^{l+p-1}\left(u^{2}, \ldots, u^{l}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

It follows that the submanifold $F^{l}$ is a submanifold of revolution. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.3, part 1.
2. $d \sigma^{2}$ is a metric of constant sectional curvature 1 .

Consider $u_{0}^{1}$ such that $u^{1}>u_{0}^{1}>0$. Assume that $u_{0}^{1}=0$. Every submanifold $u^{1}=u_{0}^{1}$ belongs to the sphere $S_{R}^{2 l-3} \subset E^{2 l-2}$ where $R=1 / \varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{0}^{1}\right)$. From Lemma 2.1, part 2, we get that $\varphi^{\prime}\left(u^{1}\right)>1, \varphi^{\prime \prime}\left(u^{1}\right)>1$ for $u^{1}>0$. Therefore $F^{l}$ lies inside the sphere $S$ of radius 1. Moreover, this sphere $S$ is the supporting sphere of $F^{l}$ at the point $u^{1}=0$. The normal $n$ of the sphere $S$ coincides with the normal of $F^{l}$ at the point $u^{1}=0$ in $H^{2 l-1}$. It follows that the second form of $F^{l}$
with respect to the normal $n$ is positive defined. Then there are no asymptotic direction at the point $u^{1}=0$. On the other hand, $F^{l}$ has a negative extrinsic sectional curvature in $H^{2 l-1}$. Then $F^{l}$ has $2^{l-1}$ asymptotic directions at every point [3, Lemma 3.2.1]. From this contradiction we get $u_{0}^{1}>0$.

The submanifold $F^{l-1}$ with the radius vector $\rho$ has the intrinsic metric of constant curvature 1 and lies inside a sphere $S_{R}^{2 l-3} \subset E^{2 l-2}$ of radius $R=$ $1 / \varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{0}^{1}\right)$. The extrinsic curvature $K_{\text {ext }}\left(F^{l-1}\right)$ of the submanifold $F^{l-1}$ is

$$
K_{\mathrm{ext}}\left(F^{l-1}\right)=1-\left(\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{0}^{1}\right)\right)^{2}<0
$$

By the same argument as in the part 1 , the curve $\Phi\left(u^{1}\right)$ belongs to the plane $E_{1}^{1} \subset E_{1}^{2 l-1}$, and $\Phi\left(u^{1}\right)$ is orthogonal to $F^{l-1}$.

Choose the orthogonal coordinate system such that the plane $E_{1}^{1}$ coincides with the plane $x^{0} O x^{1}$. Thus we obtain that the submanifold $F^{l}$ is a submanifold of revolution.
3. $d \sigma^{2}$ is a metric of constant sectional curvature $K_{\sigma}=-1$. In this case, the proof is similar to that of the part 1 .

## 3. Submanifolds of zero extrinsic sectional curvature in hyperbolic space

Let $L^{l}$ be a hypersurface of constant curvature in $H^{l+1}$ and $F^{l-1}$ be a submanifold of $L^{l}$. Through every point of $F^{l-1}$ construct the geodesics $\gamma$ tangent to the normal of $L^{l}$ in $H^{l+1}$. We get the surface $F^{l}$ with one-dimensional generator over the submanifold $F^{l-1}$ in $H^{l+1}$. Consider $H^{l+1}$ in a Cayley-Klein model inside the unit ball. Then

1) If all geodesics $\gamma$ intersect in the fixed point inside the ball, then $F^{l}$ is a cone.
2) If all geodesics $\gamma$ intersect in the fixed point on the absolute of the model, then $F^{l}$ is called an asymptotic cone.
3) If all geodesics $\gamma$ do not intersect each other either inside the ball or on the absolute, then $F^{l}$ is a cylinder with one-dimensional generator.

Theorem 3.1. Suppose $F^{l}$ is a regular hypersurface in hyperbolic space $H^{l+1}$ with the induced metric of revolution of zero extrinsic sectional curvature

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=\left(d u^{1}\right)^{2}+\varphi^{2}\left(u^{1}\right) d \sigma^{2}, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi\left(u^{1}\right)>0$ is a regular function, $d \sigma^{2}$ is a Riemannian metric of constant sectional curvature. Let the coordinate lines $u^{1}$ be the lines of curvature of the submanifold $F^{l}$.

1. If $d \sigma^{2}$ is a metric of constant sectional curvature - 1 , then $F^{l}$ is a cylinder with one-dimensional generator over a local isometric immersion of a domain of hyperbolic space $H^{l-1}$ into $H^{l}$.
2. If $d \sigma^{2}$ is a metric of constant sectional curvature 1 , then $F^{l}$ is a cone with one-dimensional generator over a local isometric immersion of a domain of the unit sphere $S^{l-1}$ into the unit sphere $S^{l} \subset H^{l+1}$.
3. If d $\sigma^{2}$ is a metric of constant sectional curvature 0 , then $F^{l}$ is an asymptotic cone with one-dimensional generator over a local isometric immersion of a domain of Euclidean space $E^{l-1}$ into the horosphere $E^{l} \subset H^{l+1}$.

Proof. Consider the definition of null-index (see [2]).
Definition 3.2. The extrinsic null-index $\mu(Q)$ of a point $Q$ of a submanifold $F^{l}$ in the Riemannian manifold $M^{l+p}$ is the maximal dimension of a subspace $L(Q)$ of the tangent space $T_{Q} F^{l}$ such that $B_{n} x=0$ for any vector $x \in L(Q)$ and any normal $n \in N_{Q} F^{l}$ at this point, where $B_{n}$ is the linear transformation in $T_{Q} F^{l}$ corresponding to the second fundamental form relative to the normal $n$.

Chern and Kuiper (see [6]) proved that the null-index of a submanifold $F^{l} \subset$ $M^{l+p}$ with zero extrinsic curvature satisfies the inequality

$$
\mu \geq l-p
$$

In our case, we get that the null-index of $F^{l}$ in $H^{l+1}$ equals $\mu(Q)=l-1$ for any point $Q$ of submanifold $F^{l}$. Therefore the nullity foliation $L(Q)$ is integrable and the leaves $S L(Q)$ of this foliation are totally geodesic submanifolds of constant curvature -1 in $H^{l+1}$. A normal $n$ is constant along the leaves (see [8]).

Let us consider two cases.

1) The totally geodesic leaves $S L\left(u^{1}\right) \subset F^{l}$ are orthogonal to the coordinate lines $u^{1}$.

Since $F^{l}$ has the induced metric of revolution (3.1), it follows that the leaves of foliation $S L\left(u^{1}\right)$ have the intrinsic metric of sectional curvature $K_{\sigma} / \varphi^{2}\left(u^{1}\right)$, where $K_{\sigma}$ is a constant of curvature of metric $d \sigma^{2}$. Since $S L\left(u^{1}\right)$ has a constant curvature -1 , then $\varphi\left(u^{1}\right)$ should be a constant function. The extrinsic sectional curvature of $F^{l} \subset H^{l+1}$ along the coordinate plane $\Pi_{1 j}$ equals

$$
K_{\mathrm{ext}}\left(\Pi_{1 j}\right)=-\frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime}}{\varphi}+1
$$

If $\varphi\left(u^{1}\right)$ is constant, then $F^{l}$ has non zero extrinsic sectional curvature. From this contradiction, we get that case 1) is impossible.
2) The leaves $S L(Q)$ of foliation contain the coordinate lines $u^{1}$.
2.1) Let $d \sigma^{2}$ be a Riemannian metric of constant negative curvature -1 . Since the submanifold $F^{l}$ has a zero extrinsic curvature, it follows

$$
\varphi^{\prime \prime}-\varphi=0, \quad\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\varphi^{2}=-1
$$

Then $\varphi=\cosh \left(u^{1}\right)$ and $\varphi\left(u^{1}\right)>0$ for all $u^{1} \geq 0$. The metric of $F^{l}$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=\left(d u^{1}\right)^{2}+\cosh ^{2}\left(u^{1}\right) d \sigma^{2} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $r=r\left(u^{1}, \ldots, u^{l}\right)$ be a radius vector of $F^{l}$. Consider the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{r}=-\sinh u^{1} r+\cosh u^{1} r_{1} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Calculate the rank of this map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{r}_{1}=\cosh u^{1}\left(-r+r_{11}\right), \quad \tilde{r}_{j}=-\sinh u^{1} r_{j}+\cosh u^{1} r_{1 j} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $n$ be a normal to $F^{l}$ in $H^{l+1}$ and $b_{i j}$ be the coefficients of the second fundamental form. The coordinate lines $u^{1}$ are the lines of a curvature of the submanifold $F^{l}$, then $b_{1 j}=0, j=2, \ldots, l$. Since the lines $u^{1}$ belong to the leaves $S L(Q)$, it follows that $b_{11}=0$.

From the Weingarten equations for $F^{l} \subset H^{l+1}$ (see [7, §64]) we obtain

$$
r_{11}=\Gamma_{11}^{k} r_{k}+r+b_{11} n, \quad r_{1 j}=\Gamma_{1 j}^{k} r_{k}+b_{1 j} n
$$

By a direct computation, we have

$$
\Gamma_{11}^{k}=0, \quad \Gamma_{1 j}^{1}=0, \quad \Gamma_{1 j}^{i}=\delta_{i}^{j} \frac{\sinh u^{1}}{\cosh u^{1}}, \quad k=1, \ldots, l, \quad j, i=2, \ldots, l
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{11}=r, \quad r_{1 j}=\frac{\sinh u^{1}}{\cosh u^{1}} r_{j} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitute (3.5) into (3.4). We get that the rank of the map (3.3) is equal to 0 . It follows that $\tilde{r}$ is a constant vector and $\langle\tilde{r}, \tilde{r}\rangle=1$. Choose in $E_{1}^{l+1}$ the orthogonal coordinate system such that the axis $x^{l+1}$ coincides with $\tilde{r}$, so $\tilde{r}=$ $(0,0, \ldots, 1)=e_{l+1}$.

Consider the differential equation

$$
-\sinh u^{1} r+\cosh u^{1} r_{1}=e_{l+1}
$$

Solving this equation with respect to the vector function $r$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=\sinh u^{1} e_{l+1}+\cosh u^{1} \rho\left(u^{2}, \ldots, u^{l}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho=\rho\left(u^{2}, \ldots, u^{l}\right)$ is a vector in $E_{1}^{l+1}$.
The submanifold $F^{l} \subset H^{l+1}$ with the radius vector $r$ has the metric (3.2). Consider the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle r, r\rangle & =\sinh ^{2} u^{1}+\cosh u^{1} \sinh u^{1}\left\langle e_{l+1}, \rho\right\rangle+\cosh ^{2} u^{1}\langle\rho, \rho\rangle=-1  \tag{3.7}\\
\left\langle r_{1}, r_{1}\right\rangle & =\cosh ^{2} u^{1}+\cosh u^{1} \sinh u^{1}\left\langle e_{l+1}, \rho\right\rangle+\sinh ^{2} u^{1}\langle\rho, \rho\rangle=1 \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Subtracting (3.7) from (3.8) yields

$$
1+\left(\sinh ^{2} u^{1}-\cosh ^{2} u^{1}\right)\langle\rho, \rho\rangle=2
$$

Thus we obtain that $\langle\rho, \rho\rangle=-1$ for any $u^{1}$.

From $\left\langle r, r_{1}\right\rangle=0$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\cosh u^{1} \sinh u^{1}+\sinh ^{2} u^{1}\left\langle e_{l+1}, \rho\right\rangle+\cosh ^{2} u^{1}\left\langle e_{l+1}, \rho\right\rangle+\cosh u^{1} \sinh u^{1}\langle\rho, \rho\rangle & =0 \\
\left(\sinh ^{2} u^{1}+\cosh ^{2} u^{1}\right)\left\langle e_{l+1}, \rho\right\rangle & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

From this equation we get that $\rho^{l+1}\left(u^{2}, \ldots, u^{l}\right)=0$ for any $u^{1}$.
Therefore, from (3.6) we obtain the radius vector of $F^{l}$,

$$
r=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
x^{0}=\cosh u^{1} \rho^{0}\left(u^{2}, \ldots, u^{l}\right) \\
x^{1}=\cosh u^{1} \rho^{1}\left(u^{2}, \ldots, u^{l}\right) \\
\ldots ; \\
x^{l}=\cosh u^{1} \rho^{l}\left(u^{2}, \ldots, u^{l}\right) \\
x^{l+1}=\sinh u^{1}
\end{array} .\right.
$$

Since $F^{l}$ has the metric of revolution (3.2), then

$$
\left\langle r_{i}, r_{j}\right\rangle=\cosh ^{2} u^{1}\left\langle\rho_{i}, \rho_{j}\right\rangle=\cosh ^{2} u^{1} \sigma_{i j}, \quad i, j=2, \ldots, l .
$$

where $\sigma_{i j}$ are the coefficients of the metric $d \sigma^{2}$.
It follows that the intersection of $F^{l}$ with the hyperbolic space $H^{l}$ orthogonal to coordinate lines $u^{1}$ at $u^{1}=0$ is a submanifold $F^{l-1}$ with the radius vector $\rho=$ $\rho\left(u^{2}, \ldots, u^{l}\right)$ and $F^{l-1}$ has the intrinsic metric of constant sectional curvature -1 . The coordinate lines $u^{1}$ of $F^{l}$ coincide with the geodesic lines of $H^{l+1}$ that are orthogonal to the subspace $H^{l}$ containing $F^{l-1}$. We obtain that the submanifold $F^{l}$ in $H^{l+1}$ is a cylinder with one-dimensional generator over $F^{l-1}$.
2.2) Let $d \sigma^{2}$ be a metric of constant sectional curvature 1.

Since the submanifold $F^{l}$ has a zero extrinsic curvature, it follows

$$
\varphi^{\prime \prime}-\varphi=0, \quad\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\varphi^{2}=1
$$

From the solution of these differential equations, we get $\varphi=\sinh \left(u^{1}\right)$ and $\varphi\left(u^{1}\right)>$ 0 for all $u^{1}>0$. Thus the metric of the submanifold $F^{l}$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=\left(d u^{1}\right)^{2}+4 \sinh ^{2} u^{1} \frac{\left(\left(d u^{2}\right)^{2}+\cdots+\left(d u^{l}\right)^{2}\right)}{\left(1+\left(u^{2}\right)^{2}+\cdots+\left(u^{l}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly to the part 2.1 ), consider the map

$$
\tilde{r}=\cosh u^{1} r-\sinh u^{1} r_{1}
$$

The rank of this map is equal to 0 . Then $\tilde{r}$ is a constant vector and $\langle\tilde{r}, \tilde{r}\rangle=-1$. Choose in $E_{1}^{l+1}$ an orthogonal coordinate system such that the axis $x^{0}$ coincides with $\tilde{r}$, so $\tilde{r}=(1,0, \ldots, 0)=e_{0}$.

From the differential equation

$$
\cosh u^{1} r-\sinh u^{1} r_{1}=e_{0}
$$

we get that the radius vector of $F^{l}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=\cosh u^{1} e_{0}+\sinh u^{1} \rho\left(u^{2}, \ldots, u^{l}\right), \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho=\rho\left(u^{2}, \ldots, u^{l}\right)$ is a vector in $E_{1}^{l+1}$.
The submanifold $F^{l} \subset H^{l+1}$ with the radius vector $r$ has the metric (3.9). In a similar way, from $\langle r, r\rangle=-1$ and $\left\langle r_{1}, r_{1}\right\rangle=1$ we obtain that $\langle\rho, \rho\rangle=1$ for any $u^{1}$.

Then from the equation $\left\langle r, r_{1}\right\rangle=0$, we get

$$
\left(\sinh ^{2} u^{1}+\cosh ^{2} u^{1}\right)\left\langle e_{0}, \rho\right\rangle=0 .
$$

We obtain that $\rho^{0}\left(u^{2}, \ldots, u^{l}\right)=0$ for any $u^{1}$.
Therefore the radius vector of the submanifold $F^{l}$ is

$$
r=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x^{0}=\cosh u^{1} \\
x^{1}=\sinh u^{1} \rho^{1}\left(u^{2}, \ldots, u^{l}\right) \\
\ldots \\
x^{l}=\sinh u^{1} \rho^{l}\left(u^{2}, \ldots, u^{l}\right) \\
x^{l+1}=\sinh u^{1} \rho^{l+1}\left(u^{2}, \ldots, u^{l}\right)
\end{array} .\right.
$$

Since $F^{l}$ has the metric of revolution (3.9), then

$$
\left\langle r_{i}, r_{j}\right\rangle=\sinh ^{2} u^{1}\left\langle\rho_{i}, \rho_{j}\right\rangle=\sinh ^{2} u^{1} \sigma_{i j}, \quad i, j=2, \ldots, l,
$$

where $\sigma_{i j}$ are the coefficients of the metric $d \sigma^{2}$.
We obtain that if $u^{1}>0$, then the submanifold $F^{l-1}$ with the radius vector $\rho\left(u^{2}, \ldots, u^{l}\right)$ is a locally isometric immersion of a domain of a sphere $S^{l-1}$ into the sphere $S^{l} \subset H^{l+1}$. The geodesic coordinate lines $u^{1}$ coincide with the geodesics of the space $H^{l+1}$ that are orthogonal to the sphere $S^{l}$. From singularity of polar coordinates, we get that all coordinate lines $u^{1}$ intersect at the origin of the coordinate system. Hence the submanifold $F^{l}$ is a cone with one-dimensional generator over $F^{l-1} \subset S^{l}$.
2.3) Let $d \sigma^{2}$ be a flat metric. Since the submanifold $F^{l}$ has a zero extrinsic curvature, it follows that

$$
\varphi^{\prime \prime}-\varphi=0, \quad\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{2}-\varphi^{2}=0
$$

Then $\varphi\left(u^{1}\right)=e^{-u^{1}}, \varphi(0)=1$. Thus the metric of the submanifold $F^{l}$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=\left(d u^{1}\right)^{2}+e^{-2 u^{1}}\left(\left(d u^{2}\right)^{2}+\cdots+\left(d u^{l}\right)^{2}\right) . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly to the previous parts, consider the map

$$
\tilde{r}=e^{-u^{1}} r+e^{-u^{1}} r_{1} .
$$

The rank of this map is also equal to 0 . It follows that $\tilde{r}$ is a constant vector and $\langle\tilde{r}, \tilde{r}\rangle=0$. Take the coordinate system in $E_{1}^{l+1}$ such that $\tilde{r}=(1,1,0, \ldots, 0)=$ $e_{0}+e_{1}$ in $E_{1}^{l+1}$.

Then the radius vector of $F^{l}$ is

$$
r=\cosh u^{1} e_{0}+\sinh u^{1} e_{1}+e^{-u^{1}} \rho\left(u^{2}, \ldots, u^{l}\right) .
$$

From $\langle r, r\rangle=-1$, by a direct computation, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-2 u^{1}}\langle\rho, \rho\rangle=-2 e^{-u^{1}} \cosh u^{1}\left\langle e_{0}, \rho\right\rangle-2 e^{-u^{1}} \sinh u^{1}\left\langle e_{1}, \rho\right\rangle . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\langle r, r_{1}\right\rangle=0$, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{-u^{1}}\left(\sinh u^{1}-\cosh u^{1}\right)\left\langle e_{0}, \rho\right\rangle+e^{-u^{1}}\left(\cosh u^{1}-\sinh u^{1}\right)\left\langle e_{1}, \rho\right\rangle \\
&-e^{-2 u^{1}}\langle\rho, \rho\rangle=0 . \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.12) and (3.13), we obtain $\left\langle e_{0}, \rho\right\rangle+\left\langle e_{1}, \rho\right\rangle=0$, which means that $\rho_{0}=$ $\rho_{1}$. Therefore, the submanifold $F^{l-1}$ with the radius vector $\rho$ belongs to the horosphere $E^{l} \subset H^{l+1}$.

Since $F^{l}$ has the metric of revolution (3.11), it follows that $F^{l-1}$ has the intrinsic flat metric.

The radius vector of the submanifold $F^{l}$ has the form

$$
r=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x^{0}=\cosh u^{1}+e^{-u^{1}} \rho^{0}\left(u^{2}, \ldots, u^{l}\right) \\
x^{1}=\sinh u^{1}+e^{-u^{1}} \rho^{0}\left(u^{2}, \ldots, u^{l}\right) \\
x^{2}=e^{-u^{1}} \rho^{2}\left(u^{2}, \ldots, u^{l}\right) \\
\ldots \\
x^{l+1}=e^{-u^{1}} \rho^{l+1}\left(u^{2}, \ldots, u^{l}\right)
\end{array} .\right.
$$

We obtain that if $u^{1}>0$, then the submanifold $F^{l-1}$ with the radius vector $\rho\left(u^{2}, \ldots, u^{l}\right)$ is a locally isometric immersion of a domain of a Euclidean space $E^{l-1}$ into the horosphere $E^{l} \subset H^{l+1}$. The coordinate lines $u^{1}$ coincide with the geodesic lines of $H^{l+1}$ that are orthogonal to the horosphere $E^{l}$. Consider $H^{l+1}$ in a Cayley-Klein model inside the unit ball. Then all coordinate lines $u^{1}$ intersect at the fixed point on the absolute of the model (point on infinity). Thus we get that the submanifold $F^{l}$ is an asymptotic cone with one-dimensional generator over $F^{l-1} \subset E^{l}$.

## 4. Submanifolds of positive extrinsic sectional curvature in hyperbolic space

Theorem 4.1. Suppose that $F^{l}$ is a regular hypersurface in a hyperbolic space $H^{l+1} \subset E_{1}^{l+1}$ with the induced metric of revolution of positive extrinsic sectional curvature.

1. If $l>2$, then $F^{l}$ is a hypersurface of revolution.
2. If $l=2$ and the coordinate lines $u^{1}$ are the lines of curvature, then $F^{2}$ is a hypersurface of revolution in $H^{3}$.

Proof. 1. A metric of revolution has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=\left(d u^{1}\right)^{2}+\varphi^{2}\left(u^{1}\right) d \sigma^{2} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d \sigma^{2}$ is the metric of constant curvature.
The proof is similar for all three cases, namely, when the curvature of $d \sigma^{2}$ is equal to $-1,0,1$. Consider the case when $d \sigma^{2}$ is a metric of constant sectional curvature 1. Then the function $\varphi$ satisfies the following conditions: $\varphi(0)=0$, $\varphi^{\prime}(0)=1$ and $\varphi^{\prime \prime}\left(u^{1}\right)-\varphi\left(u^{1}\right)<0,\left(\varphi^{\prime}\left(u^{1}\right)\right)^{2}-\varphi^{2}\left(u^{1}\right)<1$ for $u^{1}>0$.

Consider a hypersurface of revolution $F^{l} \subset H^{l+1} \subset E_{1}^{l+2}, l>2$, with the radius vector

$$
r\left(u^{1}, \ldots, u^{l}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x^{0}=h\left(u^{1}\right)  \tag{4.2}\\
x^{1}=g\left(u^{1}\right) \\
x^{2}=f\left(u^{1}\right) \rho^{1}\left(u^{2}, \ldots, u^{l}\right) \\
x^{3}=f\left(u^{1}\right) \rho^{2}\left(u^{2}, \ldots, u^{l}\right) \\
\ldots \\
x^{l+1}=f\left(u^{1}\right) \rho^{l}\left(u^{2}, \ldots, u^{l}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\rho=\left(\rho^{1}\left(u^{2}, \ldots, u^{l}\right), \ldots, \rho^{l}\left(u^{2}, \ldots, u^{l}\right)\right)$ is a radius vector of the unite sphere $S^{l-1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\rho^{1}\right)^{2}+\left(\rho^{2}\right)^{2}+\cdots+\left(\rho^{l}\right)^{2}=1 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $F^{l}$ belongs to the hyperboloid $H^{l+1}$, we get $\langle r, r\rangle=-1$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
-h^{2}+g^{2}+f^{2}=-1 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose $F^{l}$ has the induced metric of revolution (4.1). Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
g_{11}=-\left(h^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}-(f)^{2}=1  \tag{4.5}\\
\varphi^{2} d \sigma^{2}=f^{2} d \rho^{2} \tag{4.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

From equation (4.6), it follows that $f\left(u^{1}\right)=\varphi\left(u^{1}\right)$. Consider equations (4.4) and (4.5),

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-h^{2}+g^{2}+\varphi^{2}=-1  \tag{4.7}\\
-\left(h^{\prime}\right)^{2}+(g)^{2}+\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{2}=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

The solution of the system (4.7) is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g\left(u^{1}\right)=\sqrt{1+\varphi^{2}\left(u^{1}\right)} \sinh \alpha\left(u^{1}\right) \\
& h\left(u^{1}\right)=\sqrt{1+\varphi^{2}\left(u^{1}\right)} \cosh \alpha\left(u^{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\alpha\left(u^{1}\right)=\int_{0}^{u^{1}} \frac{\sqrt{1-\left(\varphi^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}+\varphi^{2}(t)}}{1+\varphi^{2}(t)} d t
$$

We obtain a hypersurface of revolution $F^{l}$ with the induced metric of revolution of positive extrinsic curvature in hyperbolic space $H^{l+1}$.

Now, let us take two isometric hypersurfaces of revolution $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ in $H^{l+1}$ with induced metric of revolution of positive extrinsic sectional curvature. The radius vectors of these surfaces are

$$
r_{k}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{k}^{0}=x_{k}^{0}\left(u^{1}, \ldots, u^{l}\right) \\
x_{k}^{1}=x_{k}^{1}\left(u^{1}, \ldots, u^{l}\right) \\
\ldots \\
x_{k}^{l+1}=x_{k}^{l+1}\left(u^{1}, \ldots, u^{l}\right)
\end{array} \quad, \quad k=1,2 .\right.
$$

Consider the Pogorelov transformation (see [9]) that maps the isometric hypersurfaces $F_{1}^{l}, F_{2}^{l} \subset H^{l+1}$ into the hypersurfaces $\widetilde{F}_{1}, \widetilde{F}_{2}^{l}$ in the Euclidean space $E^{l+1}$. The radius vectors of $\widetilde{F}_{1}, \widetilde{F}_{2}^{l}$ are equal to

$$
\widetilde{F}_{1}: \quad \widetilde{r}_{1}=\frac{r_{1}+\left\langle r_{1}, e_{0}\right\rangle e_{0}}{x_{1}^{0}+x_{2}^{0}}, \quad \widetilde{F}_{2}: \quad \widetilde{r}_{2}=\frac{r_{2}+\left\langle r_{2}, e_{0}\right\rangle e_{0}}{x_{1}^{0}+x_{2}^{0}}
$$

where $e_{0}=(1,0, \ldots, 0)$ is a coordinate vector along the axes $x^{0}$.
The hypersurfaces $\widetilde{F}_{1}, \widetilde{F}_{2}$ are also isometric (see [9, Theorem 2]). The coefficients of the second fundamental form of $\widetilde{F}_{1}, \widetilde{F}_{2}$ at the origin of coordinate system are equal to

$$
\widetilde{b}_{i j}^{1}(0)=\frac{b_{i j}^{1}(0)}{2}, \quad \widetilde{b}_{i j}^{2}(0)=\frac{b_{i j}^{2}(0)}{2}
$$

where $b_{i j}^{1}, b_{i j}^{2}$ are the coefficients of the second fundamental form of $F_{1}, F_{2}$, respectively.

Since the hypersurfaces $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ have the positive defined second fundamental forms of rank $l$, then the second fundamental forms of $\widetilde{F}_{1}, \widetilde{F}_{2}$ are also positive defined.

If $l \geq 3$, then the ranks of the second fundamental forms of $\widetilde{F}_{1}, \widetilde{F}_{2}$ in $E^{l+1}$ are greater or equal to 3 . Since $\widetilde{F}_{1}, \widetilde{F}_{2}$ are isometric, it follows that they coincide up to a rigid motion in the Euclidean space $E^{l+1}$ [10, Theorem 6.2]. From the properties of Pogorelov's transformation, we get that the isometric hypersurfaces of revolution $F_{1}^{l}, F_{2}^{l}$ also coincide up to a rigid motion in the hyperbolic space $H^{l+1}$.
2) For $l=2$, the proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.3 .
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## Багатовимірні підмноговиди з метрикою обертання у просторі Лобачевського

Darya Sukhorebska
У роботі розглянуто структуру підмноговидів малої ковимірності з індукованою метрикою обертання у просторі Лобачевського. Знайдено умову на зовнішні властивості таких підмноговидів, за яких підмноговид є підмноговидом обертання. Ця стаття є узагальненням результатів, одержаних для підмноговидів евклідового простору.

Ключові слова: метрика обертання, підмноговид обертання, лінії кривини, секційна кривина
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